council on environmental quality

102 monitor

environmental impact statements

Volume 6, No. 1
February, 1976

CEQ ADVISES DELAY OF LEASE SALE

"We believe that it would be most desirable, from an environmental point of view, to delay the sale," said Acting CEQ Chairman John Busterud referring to the proposed oil and gas lease sale for the Gulf of Alaska. Busterud recommended the delay in his letter to Secretary of the Interior Thomas Kleppe in order to allow time to evaluate possible environmental impacts from drilling for oil and gas in the area. (page 3)

AIR QUALITY INDICES CALLED "CONFUSING," "INCONSISTENT"

Metropolitan air quality indicators are the subject of a new CEQ study, Air Pollution Indices, A Compendium and Assessment of Indices Used in the United States and Canada. Since almost all cities surveyed use indices with different mathematical formulations, evaluation of national status and trends in air quality is difficult. As a result of the study, CEQ has formed an interagency task force to develop a recommended air pollution index for use by state and local governments throughout the nation. (page 29)

C O N T E N T S

CEQ Advises Delay of Lease Sale	3
Air Pollution Indices, Foreward	29
State-County Index of Statements Filed with CEQ During January, 1976	32
Agency Index of Statements Filed with CEQ During January, 1976	39
EISs Filed with CEQ During January, 1976	44
Cummulative Summary	96
EPA Listings	97
102 Monitor Subscription Information	120

CEQ
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY • 722 JACKSON PLACE, N.W. • WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

News Release

FRIDAY, JANUARY 23, 1976

CEQ RECOMMENDS DELAY IN SALE OF OIL AND GAS LEASES IN GULF OF ALASKA

The President's Council on Environmental Quality today asked Secretary of the Interior Thomas Kleppe to delay the sale of offshore leases in the Gulf of Alaska "for a sufficient period of time" to permit further analysis of the environmental impact of drilling for oil and gas on the area.

"We believe that it would be most desirable, from an environmental point of view, to delay the sale," Acting CEQ Chairman John Busterud said in a letter to Secretary Kleppe.

However, Mr. Busterud added, "if you conclude that a blanket delay of the sale is not in the national interest, the Council strongly urges that the sale be limited to those tracts that, relative to other tracts in the original proposal, appear to represent the lowest possible degree of risk of environmental damage."

He said the Council had concluded that "the sale should be restricted to Icy Bay Tracts 75-79, 117-125, 160-169, and 204-206."

The tracts are in the northeastern zone of the Gulf of Alaska and appear "to be highly promising in oil and gas potential and relatively low in vulnerability to environmental damage," Mr. Busterud wrote.

In his letter, Mr. Busterud pointed out that delaying the sale would "improve significantly (Mr. Kleppe's) ability to make environmentally protective tract selections" and would also improve the State of Alaska's "ability to deal with onshore impacts of development" of the leases.

Mr. Busterud cited four reasons for the Council's recommendation that the lease sale be delayed:

-- "it appears that the quality of knowledge on geological hazards would be improved significantly by additional analysis of existing data and by further seismic and stratigraphic field work during the summer of 1976.

-- "the environmental baseline studies are in their very early stages and significantly improved biological and oceanographic information cannot be expected realistically before two more years of field study.

-- "from one to two years is needed for the State of Alaska and potentially affected local communities to take the legislative, annexation, zoning, planning, programmatic, and fiscal steps necessary to deal with expected onshore impacts.

-- "adequate operating orders can be developed, in conjunction with EPA and CEQ, within two to three months."

CEQ's recommendations included a review process begun by the Environmental Protection Agency's December 18, 1975, determination, under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act, that the sale, as proposed and scheduled, was environmentally unsatisfactory. Under the law EPA's determination is referred to the Council on Environmental Quality.

The CEQ review was conducted in cooperation with representatives of the Interior Department, EPA, Federal Energy Administration, Office of Management and Budget, and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Mr. Busterud's letter is attached.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

January 23, 1976

Dear Mr. Secretary:

On December 18 Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Russell Train referred to the Council on Environmental Quality his determination, in accordance with Section 309 of the Clean Air Act, that the Proposed Oil and Gas Lease Sale for the Northern Gulf of Alaska (#39) is environmentally unsatisfactory as proposed and scheduled. Since then the Council, in conjunction with representatives of your Department, EPA, the Federal Energy Administration, the Office of Management and Budget, and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, has conducted an intensive review of the objections raised by Administrator Train with particular emphasis on the state of understanding of the environment of the Gulf of Alaska Outer Continental Shelf, on the proposed U.S. Geological Survey operating orders, and on the probable onshore impacts that would be triggered by a lease sale. I would like to thank you and your staff for the Department's excellent cooperation in this review.

As you know, in April 1974, CEQ submitted a report to the President, "OCS Oil and Gas - An Environmental Assessment," which concluded that the area proposed in this sale presented the highest development risks of any OCS frontier area from the standpoint of environmental quality. That report discussed in detail the unique storm, oceanographic, seismic, biological, and onshore conditions in the Gulf of Alaska. The report also made numerous recommendations for improving the Department's OCS planning and management processes. CEQ's 1974

conclusions and recommendations served, in large part, as the basis for EPA's December 18 referral.

The Council recognizes that over the past year and a half the Department has taken many constructive steps in response to CEQ's recommendations, and on its own initiative, to improve the substance and process of OCS decisionmaking. These include initiating substantial baseline environmental studies in frontier areas, establishing a joint Federal-State OCS Advisory Board, expanding the tract nomination process to include the concerns of states and the public, increasing public disclosure of geological and geophysical data, and providing for state and public review of the development plans of OCS lessees. We commend the Department for these actions.

During the period of our review of EPA's Section 309 referral the Council has also been impressed with the Department's clear commitment to incorporating the best and most recent environmental information available into the preparations for your decision on this lease sale. The Department appears to us to be approaching this matter even-handedly and a number of environmentally protective options are being considered in the decision-making process.

In the course of our review over the past month, the Council has focused on two broad questions and four key environmental issues. The first question involves delay of the sale. We have examined the issues to determine whether, given the unique problems and conditions in the Gulf of Alaska, a delay of this entire sale is justified on the merits. Second, we have examined alternatives to a blanket delay to determine the dimensions and conditions of an environmentally acceptable sale. We have approached both of these questions within the context of the following four environmental issues:

- first, the adequacy of data on geological hazards for the purpose of selecting tracts that can be developed safely;

- second, the adequacy of biological and oceanographic data for the purpose of selecting tracts that avoid or minimize the vulnerability of critical species and habitat to damage from oil spills and drilling operations;

- third, the capacity of the State of Alaska and local communities adjacent to the proposed sale area to cope with the impact of the industrial activity that will be triggered by a lease sale; and

- fourth, the adequacy of OCS operating orders for protecting the Gulf of Alaska from environmental damage.

Each of these issues was examined as to whether a blanket delay would improve significantly your ability to make environmentally protective tract selections and the Alaskans' ability to deal with the onshore impacts of development (see Attachment A).

We have concluded that the benefits of delaying the sale, and the extent of such a delay, depend upon and vary with the nature of each issue:

- on the basis of publicly available information, it appears that the quality of knowledge on geologic hazards would be improved significantly by additional analysis of existing data and by further seismic and stratigraphic field work during the summer of 1976. (In addition to publicly available data, USGS uses substantial proprietary data.)

- the environmental baseline studies are in their very early stages and significantly improved biological and oceanographic information cannot be expected realistically before two more years of field study

- from one to two years is needed for the State of Alaska and potentially affected local communities to take the legislative, annexation, zoning, planning, programmatic, and fiscal steps necessary to deal with expected onshore impacts.

- finally, adequate operating orders can be developed, in conjunction with EPA and CEQ, within two to three months.

We believe that it would be most desirable, from an environmental point of view, to delay the sale for a sufficient period of time to permit substantial realization of the above set of benefits. We recommend that you give careful consideration to that option.

The Council recognizes, of course, that the final decision is yours and that you must decide whether the environmental benefits gained by such delay outweigh the costs of postponing potential oil and gas production and revenues. If, on balance, you conclude that a blanket delay of the sale is not in the national interest, the Council strongly urges that the sale be limited to those tracts that, relative to other tracts in the original proposal, appear to represent the lowest possible degree of risk of environmental damage. For that reason, we endorse Administrator Train's recommendation of January 19, 1975 (copy attached) that the sale should be restricted to Icy Bay Tracts 75-79, 117-125, 160-169, and 204-206. These tracts make up a contiguous block in the northeasternmost zone of the original sale proposal. Fortuitously, they cover an

area which appears to be highly promising in oil and gas potential and relatively low in vulnerability to environmental damage. We believe that both factors should be given great weight in your consideration of areas to offer for sale.

In addition, we have the following specific recommendations:

- the sale date should be set for as late as possible to give USGS maximum time to evaluate existing data on geological conditions so that unsafe tracts can be eliminated from the offering prior to the sale date

- OCS operating orders 2, 7, and 8 should be issued in final form before the sale date (see Attachment B for detailed recommendations)

- environmental information gathering and analysis should continue in all areas and future sales in those Northeast Gulf of Alaska areas not leased should be deferred for at least two years, or until we have a substantially improved understanding of the environment of such areas

- the Department should take special steps, similar to those taken with respect to construction of the Trans-Alaska Pipeline, to assure that State and other Federal agency interests are taken into account in managing the Alaskan OCS program and to establish and coordinate Federal/State scientific and technical review of proposed regulations, exploration and development plans, and other appropriate operational matters (see Attachment C for our detailed recommendations on this matter).

the Department, working through the Federal/State Alaskan Regional OCS Advisory Committee, should conduct an early review of the entire proposed Alaskan OCS lease schedule and develop recommendations concerning modification in the sequence and timing of future Alaskan OCS sales. (See also Attachment C).

Finally, we are concerned with the effective operation of the National Environmental Policy Act as it relates to this lease sale and future OCS lease sales. As noted earlier in this letter, CEQ has been impressed with the Department's commitment to using all available environmental information in its decisionmaking process and to considering a wide range of environmentally protective options and we urge you to continue this practice. However, a number of the options and some supporting material developed late in this process are not included in the final environmental impact statement. We believe that environmental impact statements for future OCS sales can be improved as an aid to decisionmaking and as documents for the information of other Federal agencies and the public if they are planned and timed to: 1) reflect, as fully as possible, the latest available biological, geological and oceanographic information, 2) discuss the OCS operating orders in more detail, 3) analyze the cumulative onshore effects of OCS development in the Gulf of Alaska and the adjacent region, and 4) present all reasonable alternatives and options to the sale as originally proposed.

Once again, the Council wants you to know how much we appreciate your cooperation throughout the course of this review. As in the past, we stand ready to work with you in implementing our recommendations and on other environmental aspects of the OCS leasing program.

Sincerely,

John Busterud
Acting Chairman

Honorable Thomas S. Kleppe
Secretary of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240

Attachments

Attachment A

Summary of CEQ Review of Major Issues Raised
by Section 309 Referral,
Northern Gulf of Alaska (NEGOA) Lease Sale

I. Environmental/Oceanographic Data Base

The principal vehicle for improved understanding of frontier OCS regions, the BLM-NOAA Outer Continental Shelf Environmental Assessment Program (OCSEAP), was initiated in 1974. It has had only one full field research season (1975). The data acquired are thus quite limited. Furthermore, analysis of the first season's data is incomplete. BLM in addition has researched the available historical literature. Review of the environmental impact statement indicates this historical information base is only marginally useful.

It appears that the environmental/oceanographic data base for tract selection and operations regulation can be substantially improved by at least two more years of work under the OCSEAP. The following are the most critical research needs for these purposes:

- Physical Oceanography: Better understanding of circulation patterns in the general leasing area, near shore processes, and weather patterns will lend to better capability for predicting oil slick trajectories. Circulation models can be developed and existing models can be refined. Additional time required: 2 years

- Fish (Adult): Present NEGOA information is historical, and perhaps out of date. Recent data are needed to predict the effect of operations on resident and migratory populations. Spawning and nursery ground information are especially important. The information from the 1975 comprehensive survey is not yet available. Additional time required: 1-2 years

- Fish (Ichthyoplankton - eggs, larvae, and young) This information is perhaps most important

because of the vulnerability of this critical life stage to perturbation. No data are presently available. Additional time required: 2+ years.

- Plankton (other than Ichthyoplankton) Information of plankton populations and effects of oil on them is very limited at the present time.

Other areas of research are, of course, important, and will lead to significantly improved risk assessment in connection with tract selection and operational decisions.

II. Geology

Leasing decisions must take into account bottom conditions (slumping and unstable sediments), surface and subsurfacing faulting, and other seismic conditions to assure that a tract can be safely operated before it is leased.

Preliminary maps from OCSEAP studies showing faults, epicenters sediment thickness and distribution, and areas of slumping and potential sea floor instability were reviewed. However, it is unclear that this information is precise enough for tract by tract leasing decisions. Additional information on geologic structures and faulting surfaces is held on a proprietary basis by Geological Survey.

Without the opportunity to review the proprietary data the following additional research appears necessary.

- More precise location of shallow fault traces and age of last displacement.

- Further identification of unstable bottom sediments and evaluation of the age of historical slumps and slides.

- Correlation of earthquake epicenter data with fault location data; further evaluation of probability location and energy of future major earthquakes.

- Improved understanding of Gulf of Alaska seismicity.

  Additional time required: 1-2 years

III. OCS Orders

The proposed Gulf of Alaska OCS orders have been reviewed by EPA and CEQ and a number of recommendations made. Geological Survey has made substantial improvements in the OCS orders. Attachment B contains CEQ's detailed recommendations for the orders, including issuing certain orders in final form prior to the sale.

Additional time required: 2-3 months

IV. Onshore Impacts

A careful review of potential onshore impacts was conducted in connection with a delegation from the State of Alaska. A number of onshore activities in connection with the NEGOA lease sale have already begun. The sale itself is likely to trigger a number of major, irreversible actions. Alaska has taken steps to assist impacted communities in their planning efforts, but these activities are still in their early stages.

It is clear that prior to leasing, a certain level of sophistication is necessary on the part of government units to assure that onshore development is not destructive to the environment and quality of life. The communities that will be impacted by the NEGOA sale, and by most future sales, do not have the level of sophistication common to most communities in developed areas.

Time is necessary before leasing to allow the following actions:

- Provision of planning assistance to local governments.

- Preparation of comprehensive local plans to assure facilities are situated and developed in the best manner and location.

- Enactment of zoning codes based on the comprehensive plans.

- Development and implementation of statewide procedures for energy facility siting in the coastal zone.

  Additional time required: 1-2 years

Council on Environmental Quality
Comments and Recommendations on Proposed OCS Orders
for the Gulf of Alaska

General Comments

These comments are addressed to the proposed OCS Orders issued under cover of January 12, 1976. They are intended to supplement those of the Environmental Protection Agency.

1. OCS Orders should be promulgated very early in development of an OCS area. Order numbers 2, "Drilling Procedures;" 7, "Pollution and Waste Disposal"; and 8, "Platforms and Structures," should become effective prior to the lease sale. The need for implementation of number 2, is clear because exploratory drilling will begin as soon after a lease sale as the lease-holder can secure equipment. Number 7 is required because since initiation of drilling introduces the first possibility of an oil spill incident, pollution control and spill contingency regulations need to be promulgated.

As to number 8, platform design may be started soon after leasing and before oil discovery, and design criteria for storms and earthquakes should be available to put potential lessees on notice. We recognize that sufficient site specific information will not be available prior to leasing for precise structural standards. The proposed third party review process and our recommendations for review of development plans (see Attachment C) should compensate for this lack of specificity.

2. There are two significant problems with order number 9. Transportation and storage facilities are designed in parallel with platforms and the same timing should apply as for order number 8. However, we recognize it may be impossible to have this order out prior to the sale. Present efforts to coordinate the involvement of other agencies should be expedited, particularly the memorandum of understanding with the Office of Pipeline Safety.

Also the proposed orders appear to cover all activities which will occur in oil and gas development with the exception of oil and natural gas transportation and storage. A version of OCS Order #9 which covers offshore storage and tanker transportation as well as pipelines is needed.

3. The Orders should be as specific as possible in establishing standards for construction and operation of facilities. They should be updated frequently as further research provides better understanding of operating conditions in the Gulf of Alaska.

OCS Order #2

p. 2-2 Because of the oceanographic and meteorological conditions in the Gulf of Alaska, only semi-submersible drilling rigs should be utilized in water depths greater than 200 ft. Jack-up rigs should be permitted in water depths less than 200 ft. only if they are capable of withstanding the severe seismic conditions (earthquakes and tsunamis) which often occur in the Gulf of Alaska in addition to often expected oceanographic and meteorological conditions. Consequently, for item 1, the following wording is recommended: "Drilling Platforms and Vessels. All drilling platforms and drilling vessels should be capable of withstanding the oceanographic, meteorological and seismic-induced (earthquakes and tsunamis) conditions of the Gulf of Alaska. Jack-up rigs may be utilized in water depths of 200 ft. or less provided that data are available to indicate that ocean floor stability is sufficient to support the rig and that the rig can satisfy the seismic conditions specified in Section 1.A(4) of OCS Order #8 for fixed platforms.

p. 2-2 Well Casing. No mention is made in this section of earthquake design for casing strings. A worst case would be horizontal faulting tending to shear the casing, and this should be considered in casing design.

For item 2, the following wording is recommended for the last phrase in the first sentence: "...and the Application for Permit to Drill shall include the casing design safety factors for collapse, tension, burst and shear failure due to earthquakes."

p. 2-11, items 4.C and D. The wording should be changed to call for blind/shear rams rather than blind rams as is presently specified.

p. 2-15, item 5.B.(1) and (2). No sensitivity is indicated for mud monitors. Since mud loss or gain is the first indication of well control problems, a sensitivity for these indicators of 1 bbl for visual warning and 5 bbl for audio warning should be specified.

p. 2-18, item 6.C. Well control training does not have any uniform qualification procedure. A licensing or accreditation system should be established by Geological Survey for well control schools, and only graduates of licensed schools should be used in exploratory drilling in the Gulf of Alaska.

OCS Order #8

General - All references to an effective date of this order should be deleted. Specifically, the references are: p. 8-2, second paragraph; p. 8-9, item 4.B; p.8-12, item 4.D; p. 8-15, item 4.D.(1)(f)(ii); p. 8-20, item 4.D. (1)(f)(iv); p. 8-25, item 4.D(2)(c); p. 8-34, item 4.D.(4). We perceive no reason for grace times in an area where no operations are presently underway.

p. 8-3, item 1.A.(1). Because of the limited meteorological data available for the Gulf of Alaska, the severe storm probability should be 0.5 percent per year, and severe storm characteristics should be verified by USGS or NOAA. As presently worded, no standard is indicated for severe storm probability estimates. Also in this item a new paragraph should be added at the end: "Periodically, and following severe storms or seismic events, platforms shall be inspected for structural failures. Any failures found shall be promptly repaired and a statement of the failure and repair measures taken shall be submitted as an addendum to the application for installation (item 2)."

p. 8-3, item 1.A.(4). No mention is made of design considerations based on the combined effects of earthquakes and tsunamis. Since the most likely occurrence of tsunamis is following an earthquake, these considerations should be a part of seismic loading criteria.

p. 8-4, item 1.A(4)(g). It is not clear how one accelerometer on the platform will help in ascertaining whether some portion of the platform is particularly sensitive to seismic indicated motion. The following wording is recommended: "An array of strong motion accelerometers shall be installed on each platform to correlate the observed system response with measured motions should an earthquake occur. Data from these correlations and a comparison to predicted system response shall be submitted as an addendum to the application for installation (item 2). If during recorded earthquake motions any portion of the system proves particularly sensitive to ground motions, necessary remedial measures shall be taken."

p. 8-7, item 2.B(1) should become item 2.A(8) to facilitate design review by third parties or the public.

p. 8-8, item 3. The discussion of the structure of the third party certification process should be expanded. It is particularly important that the certifying organization be independent and free from industry pressure. In addition to this third party review, an arrangement is recommended in Attachment C which will facilitate outside review.

p. 8-20, item 4.D(1)(g)(i). The following wording is recommended: "Curbs, gutters, and drains which are adequate to collect contaminants under all weather conditions likely to occur, shall be installed in all deck areas...[remainder of wording same as earlier]."

p. 8-20, item 4.D(1)(h)(i). The following wording is recommended: "A fire-fighting system adequate to provide needed protection under all weather conditions likely to occur in areas where production handling equipment or other concentrations of flammable sources are located shall be installed. The fire-fighting system shall be rigid pipe with fire hose stations and may include a fixed spray system.

Chemicals may be used if determined to provide adequate fire protection control" [remainder of wording same as earlier].

p. 8-25, item 4.D.(2)(c). The following wording is recommended: "Simultaneous Operations. Other Activities, such as drilling, workover, wireline and major construction operations, should be avoided when wells are producing. Prior to conducting activities concurrently with production operations which could increase the possibility of occurrence of undesirable events, such as harm to personnel or to the environment, or damage to equipment, an Operator's Contingency Plan shall be filed for approval by the appropriate District Supervisor. Activities requiring such a Plan are drilling, workover, wireline, and major construction operations. No more than one such activity in addition to production may occur at the same time [remainder of wording as earlier]."

p. 8-35, item 4.D.(4) between items (c) and (d) a new item should be added: "Methods for testing personnel who have taken training to ascertain that they are qualified."

OCS Order #7

General - As part of the regional contingency plan, all shoreline shall be identified as to its sensitivity to oil spills, and appropriate response action designated for each area. This will result in less likelihood of inappropriate response if an oil slick approaches a sensitive area, and in the event of a massive spill some priority will have been designated for response action.

p. 7-6, item 3.A. Specification should be made of what is meant by "Available to each operator," since time is so important in spill response. Booms should be available within one hour in good weather. This item should also specify the sea state capability and length of containment booms which are available.

p. 7-8, item 3.B(4)(a). Frequency and quality of training for response operating team personnel should be specified.

OCS Order #9

General - The necessity for this order was discussed under overall comments above. Referring to OCS Order #9 for the Gulf of Mexico, the following specific recommendations are made.

1. The best available flow monitoring equipment (such as used for the Alaska pipeline) should be specified for any offshore pipelines.

2. All valves, fittings, and other appendages to a pipeline should be considered as part of the pipeline and covered by this order.

3. Corridors should be considered for pipelines in order to limit environmental impact of landfalls or impact on fishing.

4. Pipeline completion reports should specify the accuracy to which the actual pipeline location is determined. The location should be to within ± 10 ft. with respect to a nearby shore location.

OCS Order #5

General - Because of the possibility of subsurface casing failures due to seismic events, two safety valves should be specified for this area, a surface controlled valve more than 100 ft. below the surface, and a velocity actuated valve just above each producing zone.

p. 5-4, item 3. The following wording is recommended: "Temporary Removal. Each wireline -- or pumpdown -- retrievable subsurface safety device may be removed for a routine operation which does not require approval of a Sundry Notice and Report on Wells (Form 9-331) for a period not to exceed fifteen (15) days provided notice is given with an indication of the reason for removal. The well should be clearly identified [remainder of wording same as earlier]."

Attachment C

Alaskan OCS
Federal-State Relationships

Background

- 9 OCS sales scheduled for the Alaskan OCS over the next three years; more are likely in the future; massive potential oil industry impact on State; State is undeveloped in character, lacks existing infrastructure, has a fragile and highly vulnerable coastal environment; potential impact on other Federal interests (parks, forests, wildlife refuges).

- The State, as well as the Federal Government, has a legitimate interest in protecting the natural resources in the proposed sale areas and minimizing onshore impacts.

- Three institutional mechanisms now exist with specific focus on Federal and State interests in the Alaskan OCS: The Alaskan OCS Regional Advisory Committee, a subunit of the National OCS Advisory Board; the BLM's Alaskan OCS Office; and the USGS' Alaska Area Supervisor.

Proposed Actions

- The Department should establish a special Alaskan OCS Coordinator in the Office of the Secretary.

  - This would give high level visibility and support to the Alaskan OCS program comparable to that given to construction of the Trans-Alaskan Pipeline.

  - The Coordinator would be responsible for assuring that the Department's mechanisms for Federal/State cooperation are operating effectively.

- The Department's Alaskan OCS Coordinator, in conjunction with the Alaskan OCS Regional Advisory Committee (including representatives of CEQ, EPA, FEA, and other appropriate Federal agencies) should conduct a reexamination of the present leasing schedule for Alaskan OCS.

  - It would report to the Secretary, within three months, any recommendations for modifying the sequence and timing of remaining announced sales and potential future sales

  - The objective would be to improve the Department's ability to take advantage of existing and emerging environmental data and to minimize the cumulative social and economic impacts on the state and on other Federal interests.

- The Department should establish, along the model of the Alaska Pipeline Office, an Alaska-based interdisciplinary technical/scientific review group (or groups as appropriate) composed of experts representing the State and other Federal agencies.

  - This group (or groups) would advise the key BLM and USGS officials in Alaska

  - It would be responsible for reviewing exploration and development plans, training programs, and for on-site surveillance and monitoring of construction and operations on a frequent schedule

  - It would also be responsible for reviewing the results of environmental baseline and monitoring studies and for recommending changes to agency procedures or operations indicated by such results

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 19 1976

OFFICE OF THE
ADMINISTRATOR

Dear Russ:

The purpose of this letter is to offer the Environmental Protection Agency's position on the options being considered by the Secretary of the Interior with respect to oil and gas leasing on the Outer Continental Shelf in the Northern Gulf of Alaska (NEGOA, sale #39). This position follows up my letters of December 18, 1975, to you and Secretary Kleppe stating my determination under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act that the proposed sale, as described in the Bureau of Land Management's final environmental statement, would be unsatisfactory from the standpoint of environmental quality.

In my December 18 letters, I requested that the Secretary of the Interior delay the proposed sale until adequate Operating Orders had been formulated for the Gulf of Alaska, and until completion of environmental baseline and other special studies presently being conducted in the Gulf. Such a delay would, I felt, also be exceedingly valuable to the State of Alaska in order that the State and affected local communities could complete their coastal studies and planning and establish their coastal zone management program.

Since the 18th, we have had several productive meetings, under your Council's leadership, with the Department of the Interior and representatives of the State of Alaska and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. As a result of these meetings, EPA is in a position to be more specific in its observations and recommendations. These are outlined below in the two major areas of concern - Operating Orders and Environmental Studies.

General

The Bureau's final environmental statement states that OCS oil development in NEGOA is likely to result in severe damage to the sensitive coastal ecosystems. CEQ's report OCS Oil and Gas -- An Environmental Assessment describes serious reservations concerning development of the OCS in NEGOA. The report points out that there exists a very strong probability that any oil spills occurring in the lease area will impact highly productive fish nursery and spawning areas, as well as indigenous and migratory bird populations. The CEQ report correctly states that the probability of severe spills is greater in NEGOA than other proposed OCS areas because of the greater demand on OCS technology due to the severity of storms in the region, earthquake potential and, in general, the formidable problems of working in a sub-arctic environment.

Studies currently being performed by NOAA under contract to BLM generally support the statement that the NEGOA is an area of unique highly productive biological resources that may suffer considerable damage if OCS development is allowed to occur without exceptional measures to protect the environment. Such measures must include technology requirements to insure safe operations (both in the drilling and production phases) in the face of severe seismic and storm conditions, and plans and controls to mitigate the adverse effects of onshore developments (for example, provision of adequate public facilities and locational controls to prevent wetlands or other environmental destruction).

Operating Orders

EPA has repeatedly expressed its concerns over the Operating Orders for the Gulf of Alaska dating back to our March 1975 comments on the proposed Operating Orders which appeared in the Federal Register in January 1975. (These proposed orders were in essence operating orders for the Gulf of Mexico.) Since that time EPA has offered recommendations for development of orders for the unique environmentally hazardous conditions in the Gulf of Alaska. These recommendations follow closely the recommendations for improving the system of Operating Orders as published in CEQ's report.

It is our firm position that final Operating Orders acceptable to EPA should be published before any leasing takes place in the Gulf of Alaska. The State of Alaska, which has had considerable experience in administering the development of the Cook Inlet oil and gas fields, should be asked to participate in the development of final Orders. With an accelerated effort including EPA and State of Alaska participation, such orders could realistically be produced within two months.

EPA's most recent detailed comments on what we would consider to be adequate Operating Orders were contained in staff memoranda of January 8 and 15, 1976, which are enclosed for your reference. In summary: (1) EPA has no objection to the Department's drafts of Orders No. 1, 3, 4, 6, 11 and 12 (as proposed on January 6, 1975, or in the redrafted orders which were sent to us on January 12, 1976); (2) EPA believes that Orders No. 2, 5, 7, 8 and 9 require further modification in accordance with our comments of January 8 and 15, 1976.

Additionally, we note that sufficient site-specific information does not currently exist to write the detailed performance requirements for Order No. 8 which ideally should be written. Therefore, it is EPA's position that specific design criteria should be developed (as outlined in our January 8, 1976, comments) and that reviews including the use of these criteria should be conducted prior to the development phase. We note in the final environmental statement that the Department states that it will prepare environmental assessments and if necessary, environmental impact statements, on the development plans. We concur with the Department's commitment and request that the assessments and statements be made available for EPA review.

Environmental Studies

In our recent meetings (as well as in the Department's analysis of the hazards contained in its final environmental statement), a very strong case has been made for a 2-3 year delay in oil and gas leasing. I would like to outline a number of benefits such a delay would afford.

Sufficient time would be provided to complete an environmental impact statement on all proposed Alaska OCS development. This statement would provide an

intercomparison of the energy resource/environmental impact trade-offs among the various Alaska OCS regions. It would insure that initial Alaska OCS development would represent the best balance between energy resource recovery and ecological considerations.

The State of Alaska would be given sufficient time to develop a comprehensive coastal zone management program (CZMP) that would integrate mineral resource extraction and its associated onshore developmental impacts, with other competing or multiple use activities such as fishing, transportation, recreation, aesthetics, etc. The CZMP in conjunction with the environmental statement on Alaskan OCS development would give a reasoned approach that would help responsible agencies minimize ecological impact. This approach would be firmly based on studies performed over an ecologically meaningful time period rather than on the preliminary data now available and would provide an informed basis for decision making and evaluation which does not presently exist.

NOAA's environmental baseline assessment program dealing with NEGOA would be more complete. These study results would provide an adequate description of environmental (physical, chemical, biological) conditions needed for assessing the ecosystem impact of OCS development. Our present knowledge is far too limited to make definitive statements regarding qualitative and quantitative ecological disturbances that may result from oil extraction operation. Further effort in the NOAA study is needed to make adequate judgments concerning tract deletions or special lease stipulations to protect the environment.

If in the national interest it becomes imperative to proceed with the sale this year, it is our view that such an action could only be made environmentally satisfactory if the following steps are taken:

°Limited Sale

The sale should be restricted to the lease area in the Northeastern zone of the Icy Bay tracts Nos. 75-79, 117-125, 160-169, and 204-206.

Such a limited sale would involve minimal offshore environmental disturbance while allowing an estimated 30% of the total crude oil resource to be developed.

By restricting the sale to one contiguous area many technological or operational advantages are gained which provide a greater level of ecological safety than would be assured if scattered sections are offered for lease.

Some of the advantages are the consolidation of oil storage areas, minimizing the number of offshore tanker loading facilities, minimizing the miles of oil well connecting pipeline required, restricting onshore facilities to one relatively convenient location, minimizing the number of platforms required and minimizing both the number, routes, and distance to be traversed by tankers, work boats and drilling platforms. Containment and consolidation criteria are essential features to insure minimum impact and to develop experience in working in this area.

The Northeast Zone represents a large area with good prospects for sizable oil finds if oil and gas deposits exist in the Gulf. Research and coastal management activities should be much more developed before other regions of the NEGOA OCS are considered for additional lease sales.

°Operating Orders As recommended above, Operating Orders should be promulgated in final form prior to the lease sale concurrent with a commitment by Interior to allow an EPA review of environmental assessments or statements prepared in conjunction with the review of development plans.

°Exploration Plans

It is evident that the onshore environmental (as well as social and economic) effects of both exploratory and production activities are likely to be highly disruptive and could be disastrous unless carefully controlled within a properly planned framework. It is also evident that such plans and controls are not in place at present. The need for such improvements presents a strong argument in favor of a delay, but again if a sale must be held this year, a limitation in the geographic dispersion of tracts offered would assist the Alaska CZM and local planners by enhancing their capabilities to predict the location and extent of impacts on a particular area.

More importantly, the Secretary of the Interior should make maximum efforts to assist the State of Alaska in planning for and controlling onshore development. In particular, this would appear to be important to the Alaskan Native Village of Yakutat. It has been suggested that the Secretary should utilize his trust authorities and responsibilities to assist this community.

The Department should further facilitate Alaska State-local planning by including lease stipulations (or developing other appropriate regulatory means) requiring submission of detailed exploration plans. Such plans should be available for review by Federal, State and local agencies prior to Interior approval, and should cover phasing of exploratory operations, onshore facilities, offshore support needs and transportation needs.

EPA is available to discuss the position outlined above at any time. I am most grateful for the thorough review you and your staff have conducted and for the serious consideration you have given to our views. Together we have, I believe, shown how the Section 309 referral process can work to illuminate the environmental problems and alternatives in issues of unusual national and environmental significance, and I am most hopeful that this process will lead to a course of action that is best for all concerned.

Sincerely yours,

Russell E. Train
Administrator

Honorable Russell W. Peterson
Chairman
Council on Environmental Quality
722 Jackson Place, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

AIR POLLUTION INDICES

A Compendium and Assessment of Indices Used in the United States and Canada

Sponsored by the
Council on Environmental Quality
and the Environmental Protection Agency

December 1975

FOREWORD

The American public needs to be provided with accurate, timely, and understandable information about air quality conditions in the nation's cities. Awareness of the daily level of urban air pollution is often important to those who suffer from illnesses which are aggravated or caused by air pollution, as well as to the general public. Many Americans who live or work in urban areas can voluntarily modify their activities at times when they are cognizant of high air pollution levels. Further, the success of the nation's commitment to improving air quality may depend upon the support of citizens who are well-informed about local and nationwide air pollution problems and the progress of abatement efforts.

In recent years, dozens of states and municipalities throughout the United States and Canada have responded to these public information needs by developing or adopting various kinds of air pollution indices for daily reporting. The news media have widely adopted these indices, and the result has been that most of the air quality information now provided to the general public is communicated in the form of an air quality index. Thus, there now exists a wealth of experience in using air pollution indices for public reporting.

This report draws upon that experience to summarize and assess the many air pollution indices that are regularly being used to communicate air quality information to citizens of the United States and Canada. The first comprehensive study of its kind, this compendium and assessment was performed by Gary C. Thom, a consultant to the Council on Environmental Quality, and Wayne R. Ott of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Research and Development. The study was conducted in response to recent recommendations of the National Academy of Sciences and the Congressional Research Service that CEQ, EPA, and other Federal agencies should increase the efforts devoted to developing and utilizing better air quality indicators for public information. The contributions of many state and municipal agency personnel were invaluable to the success of the study.

The findings of this study are quite disturbing. The report describes a confusing and scientifically inconsistent array of air quality reporting methods in use today. At least 15 basically different kinds of indices are used, and few of them seem to provide truly meaningful information to the public.

As a result of this study, the Council on Environmental Quality established a Federal Interagency Task Force on Air Quality Indicators in the summer of 1975. The primary mission of the task force is to develop a standard air pollution index which the participating Federal agencies can recommend for nationwide use. The task force consists of CEQ, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Bureau of Standards, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and the Office of Environmental Affairs of the Department of Commerce.

Appendices E and F of this report describe two of the air pollution indices which are being actively considered by the task force: the Standardized Urban Air Quality Index and the Primary Standards Index. Although neither of these indices has yet received the endorsement of the task force, both of them were developed by CEQ and EPA personnel who were cognizant of the findings of this compendium and assessment of U.S. and Canadian air pollution indices. It is expected that some of the design features of both of these candidate indices will become incorporated into a Standard Air Pollution Index which will be recommended by the task force. The task force expects to report its recommendations in the spring of 1976.

Russell W. Peterson

Russell W. Peterson, Chairman
Council on Environmental Quality

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
		DRAFT	Synthetic Fuels Commercialization Program	50066	01-16-76	ERDA
		DRAFT	Emission Standard for Vinyl Chloride	60017	01-05-76	EPA
		DRAFT	Commercial Zones and Terminal Areas	60122	01-27-76	ICC
		DRAFT	Evaporative Emission Regs.- '78 & Later Vehicles	60134	01-29-76	EPA
		DRAFT	Listing of Three Sea Turtles as Threatened Species	60148	01-30-76	DOC
		FINAL	Use of Steel Shot for Waterfowl Hunting	60034	01-08-76	DOI
		FINAL	Trunkline Gas Co. Curtailment	60045	01-12-76	FPC
		FINAL	Abrasive Blasting of Naval Ship Hulls	60052	01-12-76	USN
		FINAL	Vessels for Offshore Oil and Gas Drilling	60067	01-16-76	DOC
		FINAL	Southwestern Power Admin., Construction & Maintena	60106	01-26-76	DOI
		FINAL	Columbia Gas Transmission Corp., Curtailment	60120	01-26-76	FPC
		FINAL	Consolidated Gas Co., Curtailment	60121	01-27-76	FPC
Alabama		FINAL	Mobil Bay Exploratory Oil Well Permit	60103	01-23-76	COE
	Madison	FINAL	Pulaski Pike Area Water System, Huntsville	60138	01-30-76	HUD
Alaska		DRAFT	S. Lindenberg Peninsula Unit Plan, Tongass N.F.	60075	01-19-76	USDA
		DRAFT	Pacific Northern Co. Timber Sale, Tongass N.F.	60080	01-20-76	USDA
		FINAL	Honker Divide Land Use Plan, Tongass N.F.	60027	01-07-76	USDA
Arizona	Coconino	FINAL	Proposed Mogollon Rim Land Use Plan	60007	01-05-76	USDA
	Gila	DRAFT	Greater Globe-Miami, Arizona Wastewater Treatment	60037	01-08-76	EPA
		FINAL	Proposed Mogollon Rim Land Use Plan	60007	01-05-76	USDA
	Navajo	FINAL	Proposed Mogollon Rim Land Use Plan	60007	01-05-76	USDA
Arkansas	Benton	DRAFT	Springdale Water and Sewerage Improvements	60116	01-26-76	HUD
	Hempstead	FINAL	Ozan Creeks Watershed	60019	01-06-76	USDA
	Montgomery	FINAL	South Fork Watershed Project	60068	01-19-76	USDA
	Washington	DRAFT	Springdale Water and Sewerage Improvements	60116	01-26-76	HUD
California		DRAFT	Truckee-Little Truckee Rivers Unit Plans	60070	01-19-76	USDA
		DRAFT	Center City Project, National City, California	60102	01-23-76	HUD
	Los Angeles	FINAL	Los Angeles Dam and Resevoir Project	60043	01-11-76	HUD
		FINAL	Los Angeles Harbor Industrial Center Redevelopment	60051	01-12-76	HUD
		FINAL	Florence Avenue, Bloomfield Ave. to Telegraph Rd.	60139	01-30-76	DOT
	Orange	DRAFT	El Toro Road, Orange County	60117	01-26-76	DOT
	San Francisco	FINAL	Extension of Entrance to Islais Creek Channel	60131	01-29-76	COE
	San Luis Obisp	DRAFT	Port San Luis Navigation Project	60115	01-26-76	COE

FROM 01-01-76
TO 01-31-76

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

02-23-76

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
California	San Mateo	DRAFT	Positron-Electron Storage Ring Project, Stanford	60111	01-26-76	ERDA
	Shasta	DRAFT	Buenaventura Drive, Redding	60137	01-30-76	BUD
Colorado		DRAFT	Demilitarization of M34 Stockpile (Supplement D)	60124	01-27-76	USA
	Denver	DRAFT	Proposed Foothills Project, Denver County	60039	01-09-76	DOI
Connecticut	New Haven	FINAL	Farm Brook Watershed	60056	01-14-76	USDA
Delaware		DRAFT	Delmarva Waterway	60128	01-28-76	COE
District of Co		FINAL	Bicentennial Transportation Program	60053	01-13-76	DOT
		FINAL	Washington Technical Institute and International C	60147	01-30-76	GSA
Florida	Bay	DRAFT	Federal Building and Courthouse, Panama City	60038	01-09-76	GSA
	Collier	FINAL	Marco Island, Deltona Corp. Permit	60146	01-30-76	COE
	Dade	DRAFT	Everglades Jetport Replacement	60078	01-19-76	DOT
Georgia	Carroll	FINAL	Wansley Project, Atlanta	60144	01-30-76	USDA
	Chatham	FINAL	Savannah Harbor, Operation and Maintenance	60082	01-20-76	COE
	Heard	FINAL	Wansley Project, Atlanta	60144	01-30-76	USDA
Guam		DRAFT	Guam International Airport	60079	01-19-76	DOT
Hawaii	Honolulu	FINAL	Hawaii Kai Marina, Permit Actions	60092	01-22-76	COE
Idaho		FINAL	Callahan Planning Unit, Kootenai N.F.	60054	01-14-76	USDA
	Ada	FINAL	Vista Avenue-Pidenbaugh Canal-U.S. 30 Connection	60105	01-26-76	DOT
	Adams	DRAFT	Meadows Unit Land Use Plan, Payette N.F.	60062	01-15-76	USDA
	Fremont	FINAL	N. Fremont Co. Wastewater Facilities	60071	01-19-76	EPA
	Idaho	DRAFT	Meadows Unit Land Use Plan, Payette N.F.	60062	01-15-76	USDA

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Idaho	Idaho	DRAFT	Red River Unit Plan, Nezperce N.F.	60136	01-29-76	USDA
	Latah	FINAL	Moscow Couplet, U.S. 95	60119	01-26-76	DOT
Illinois		FINAL	Collins Generating Station	60006	01-02-76	COE
	Cook	DRAFT	Lake-Will Freeway, Illinois Rte.68 to Illinois Rte	60036	01-08-76	DOT
	Lake	DRAFT	Lake-Will Freeway, Illinois Rte.68 to Illinois Rte	60036	01-08-76	DOT
Indiana	Bartholomew	FINAL	Tenth Street Improvements, Bartholomew Co.	60023	01-06-76	DOT
	Dearborn	DRAFT	S.R. 1 Bridge Over the Penn Central RR, Dearborn C	60003	01-02-76	DOT
	Elkhart	DRAFT	Johnson-Beardsley Realignment, Elkhart County	60025	01-07-76	DOT
	Hamilton	FINAL	Sunblest Subdivision, Fishers, Indiana	60125	01-27-76	HUD
	Vermillion	FINAL	SR 63, US 36 to US 136	60014	01-05-76	DOT
	Warren	FINAL	SR 63, US 36 to US 136	60014	01-05-76	DOT
Iowa	Decatur	DRAFT	Little River Watershed, Decatur County	60035	01-08-76	USDA
Kansas	Finney	DRAFT	(50 MW) Combustion Turbine, Kansas 53 Central	60012	01-05-76	USDA
	Sedgwick	DRAFT	Grove Street: Lewis to Elm, Sedgwick County	60033	01-08-76	DOT
Louisiana		DRAFT	Bayou Segnette Waterway & Barataria Bay Waterway	60088	01-21-76	COE
	several	DRAFT	Petit Anse, Tigre & Carlin Bayous; and Bayou Gross	60016	01-05-76	COE
		FINAL	Teche-Vermilion Basins, Water Supply	60133	01-29-76	COE
	Claiborne	DRAFT	Caney Unit Plan, Kisatchie N.F.	60084	01-21-76	USDA
	Jefferson	DRAFT	Lake Ponchartrain, North Shore Dredging	60055	01-14-76	COE
	Orleans	DRAFT	Lake Ponchartrain, North Shore Dredging	60055	01-14-76	COE
	Pointe Coupee	FINAL	Big Cajun No. 2 Power Station	60059	01-15-76	USDA
	St. Bernard	DRAFT	Lake Ponchartrain, North Shore Dredging	60055	01-14-76	COE
	Webster	DRAFT	Caney Unit Plan, Kisatchie N.F.	60084	01-21-76	USDA
Maine		FINAL	Frenchboro Harbor, Maine, (Supplement)	60028	01-07-76	COE
	Cumberland	DRAFT	Wastewater Collection & Treatment, Scarborough	60029	01-07-76	EPA
	Penobscot	DRAFT	Penobscot Wild and Scenic River	60085	01-21-76	DOI
	Piscataquis	DRAFT	Penobscot Wild and Scenic River	60085	01-21-76	DOI
	Somerset	DRAFT	Penobscot Wild and Scenic River	60085	01-21-76	DOI

FROM 01-01-76
TO 01-31-76

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

02-23-76

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Maryland		DRAFT	Delmarva Waterway	60128	01-28-76	COE
Massachusetts		FINAL	Boston Demolition Plan	60044	01-12-76	HUD
Michigan	Clinton	FINAL	East Upper Maple River Watershed	60047	01-12-76	USDA
	Gratiot	FINAL	East Upper Maple River Watershed	60047	01-12-76	USDA
	Isabella	DRAFT	Mount Pleasant Municipal Airport	60123	01-27-76	DOT
	Macomb	DRAFT	Clinton River Dredging, Disposal Facility	60063	01-15-76	COE
	Ontonagon	FINAL	Ontanagon Harbor, Operation and Maintenance	60009	01-05-76	COE
	Schiawassee	FINAL	East Upper Maple River Watershed	60047	01-12-76	USDA
Mississippi	several	FINAL	Yazoo River Basin Flood Control	60013	01-05-76	COE
	Harrison	FINAL	Gulfport Harbor, Mississippi	60069	01-21-76	COE
	Jackson	FINAL	Bulk Transfer Terminal, Bayou Casotte	60145	01-30-76	COE
	Kemper	FINAL	Shuqualak Creek Watershed Project	60108	01-26-76	USDA
	Noxubee	FINAL	Shuqualak Creek Watershed Project	60108	01-26-76	USDA
Missouri	Clay	DRAFT	Trimble Wildlife Area, Smithville Lake (Supplement	60022	01-06-76	COE
	Franklin	DRAFT	Route 185, Franklin and Washington Counties	60094	01-22-76	DOT
	Jackson	DRAFT	Trimble Wildlife Area, Smithville Lake (Supplement	60022	01-06-76	COE
	Ray	DRAFT	Trimble Wildlife Area, Smithville Lake (Supplement	60022	01-06-76	COE
	Washington	DRAFT	Route 185, Franklin and Washington Counties	60094	01-22-76	DOT
Montana		FINAL	Callahan Planning Unit, Kootenai N.F.	60058	01-14-76	USDA
	Jefferson	DRAFT	Boulder River Watershed	60095	01-22-76	USDA
	Lincoln	FINAL	Libby Dam & Lake Koocanusa, Kootenai R. (Supplemen	60020	01-06-76	COE
Nebraska	Lancaster	FINAL	US 77, Lancaster Co., Neb.	60024	01-06-76	DOT
	Lincoln	FINAL	Gerald Gentleman Station, Project #1835	60109	01-26-76	FPC
Nevada		DRAFT	Truckee-Little Truckee Rivers Unit Plans	60070	01-19-76	USDA

FROM 01-01-76
TO 01-31-76

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

02-23-76

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
New Hampshire	several	DRAFT	Winnipesaukee River Basin, Wastewater Facilities	60030	01-07-76	EPA
New Mexico	San Juan	FINAL	Coal Gasification Project, Navajo Mine	60057	01-14-76	DOI
	San Miguel	DRAFT	Conchas Lake O&M, Canadian River	60069	01-19-76	COE
	Sandoval	DRAFT	Intense Neutron Source Facility, Los Alamos	60097	01-22-76	ERDA
New York		FINAL	F.D. Roosevelt National Historic Site	60141	01-30-76	DOI
		FINAL	Eascogas, Distrigas, LNG Permit (Supplement)	60018	01-05-76	FPC
	several	FINAL	Great Sodus Bay, Little Sodus Bay, Oswego Harbors	60060	01-15-76	COE
	Cayuga	DRAFT	Sterling Power Project Unit 1, Cayuga County	60026	01-07-76	NRC
	Genesee	FINAL	Oak Orchard Creek Watershed	60050	01-12-76	USDA
	Orange	DRAFT	Otisville Federal Correctional Institution	60142	01-30-76	GSA
	Orleans	FINAL	Oak Orchard Creek Watershed	60050	01-12-76	USDA
North Carolina	Caswell	FINAL	Country Line Creek Watershed	60021	01-06-76	USDA
	Cleveland	FINAL	Second Broad River Watershed	60104	01-28-76	USDA
	McDowell	FINAL	Second Broad River Watershed	60104	01-28-76	USDA
	Rockingham	FINAL	Country Line Creek Watershed	60021	01-06-76	USDA
	Rutherford	FINAL	Second Broad River Watershed	60104	01-28-76	USDA
Ohio		FINAL	Cleveland's Demolition Program	60005	01-02-76	HUD
	Ashtabula	FINAL	Ashtabula Harbor, Operations and Maintenance	60011	01-05-76	COE
	Belmont	FINAL	SR 7, Bellaire, Ohio	60046	01-12-76	DOT
Oklahoma	several	DRAFT	Arkansas R., Keystone Lake to Webbers Falls	60065	01-15-76	COE
	Oklahoma	DRAFT	Oklahoma City Wastewater Facilities	60130	01-29-76	EPA
Oregon		FINAL	5th and 6th Avenues Transit Mall, Portland	60129	01-29-76	DOT
	Douglas	FINAL	The Siuslaw and Umpqua Estuaries, Dredging	60008	01-05-76	COE
	Jackson	DRAFT	Applegate Reservoir, Rogue River Basin	60093	01-22-76	COE
		FINAL	Head Road - Airport Road Section	60048	01-12-76	DOT
	Klamath	DRAFT	Chemult Unit Land Use Plan, Winema N.F.	60112	01-26-76	USDA
	Lake	DRAFT	Chemult Unit Land Use Plan, Winema N.F.	60112	01-26-76	USDA
	Lane	FINAL	The Siuslaw and Umpqua Estuaries, Dredging	60008	01-05-76	COE
		FINAL	Air Route Surveillance Radar, Eugene	60091	01-22-76	DOT

FROM 01-01-76
TO 01-31-76

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

02-23-76

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Pennsylvania		DRAFT	Cankerworm Suppression in Pennsylvania - 1976	60002	01-02-76	USDA
	Crawford	DRAFT	L.R. 1137-B03, Meadville to Titusville	60087	01-21-76	DOT
Rhode Island		FINAL	Eascogas, Algonquin Gas, LNG Permits (Supplement)	60090	01-22-76	FPC
South Carolina	Aiken	DRAFT	Bobby Jones Expressway: US 25 Savannah River	60040	01-09-76	DOT
	Lexington	FINAL	Southeastern Beltway Section A, Columbia	60072	01-19-76	DOT
	Richland	FINAL	Southeastern Beltway Section A, Columbia	60072	01-19-76	DOT
Tennessee	Carter	DRAFT	State Route 36, Rock Creek to Johnson City	60127	01-28-76	DOT
	Knox	DRAFT	South Knoxville Boulevard, U.S. 441 to S.R. 158	60118	01-26-76	DOT
	Marion	FINAL	Raccoon Mountain Pumped-Storage Plant	60077	01-19-76	TVA
	Unicoi	DRAFT	State Route 36, Rock Creek to Johnson City	60127	01-28-76	DOT
	Washington	DRAFT	State Route 36, Rock Creek to Johnson City	60127	01-28-76	DOT
Texas		FINAL	Mahon School Area Rehabilitation, Lubbock	60100	01-23-76	HUD
		FINAL	Corpus Christi Beach Restoration Project	60135	01-29-76	COE
		FINAL	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Texas	60143	01-30-76	COE
	Chambers	FINAL	Anahuac Channel & Channel to Liberty, Dredging	60073	01-19-76	COE
	Harris	DRAFT	Loop 390, U.S. 80 to U.S. 59, Marshall	60086	01-21-76	DOT
	Jefferson	FINAL	Sabine-Neches Waterway, Maintenance Dredging	60032	01-08-76	COE
	Liberty	FINAL	Anahuac Channel & Channel to Liberty, Dredging	60073	01-19-76	COE
	Live Oak	DRAFT	Local Flood Protection, Three Rivers (Supplement)	60126	01-28-76	COE
	Nueces	FINAL	Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Maintenance Dredging	60074	01-19-76	COE
	Orange	FINAL	Sabine-Neches Waterway, Maintenance Dredging	60032	01-08-76	COE
	Victoria	DRAFT	Loop 463, Victoria County	60114	01-26-76	DOT
Utah	several	FINAL	Dixie N.F. Timber Management Plan	60061	01-15-76	USDA
	Sevier	DRAFT	Salina Planning Unit, Fishlake N.F.	60001	01-02-76	USDA
Virginia		DRAFT	Delmarva Waterway	60128	01-28-76	COE
		FINAL	Southern Branch of Elizabeth River (2)	60041	01-12-76	COE
	Arlington	DRAFT	Virginia Heating Plant Improvements, Arlington	60107	01-26-76	GSA
		FINAL	Interstate Route 595, Virginia	60076	01-19-76	DOT

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Washington	several	DRAFT	Twisp-Winthrop-Conconully Unit, Okanogan N.F.	60064	01-15-76	USDA
	Clark	DRAFT	Bonneville Dam Integrating Transmission (S, FY 77)	60110	01-26-76	DOI
	Grant	FINAL	LORAN-C Chain, Moses Lake, Washington (Supplement)	60081	01-20-76	DOT
	King	FINAL	Proposed NOAA Western Regional Headquarters	60098	01-22-76	DOC
	Pierce	FINAL	Chambers Creek Sewerage System	60015	01-05-76	EPA
	Skamania	DRAFT	Bonneville Dam Integrating Transmission (S, FY 77)	60110	01-26-76	DOI
West Virginia	several	FINAL	Kanawha River Navigation System	60140	01-30-76	COE
	Barbour	FINAL	Elk Creek Watershed, W. Va.	60101	01-23-76	USDA
	Harrison	FINAL	Elk Creek Watershed, W. Va.	60101	01-23-76	USDA
	Upshur	FINAL	Elk Creek Watershed, W. Va.	60101	01-23-76	USDA
Wisconsin	Bayfield	FINAL	Cornucopia and Port Wing Harbors	60010	01-05-76	COE
	Douglas	DRAFT	Belknap Street Viaduct, City of Superior	60004	01-02-76	DOT
	Milwaukee	DRAFT	East Locust Street, U.S.141 to Oakland Avenue	60049	01-12-76	DOT
	Pierce	DRAFT	S.T.H. 35, River Falls-Hudson Road	60132	01-29-76	DOT
	St. Croix	DRAFT	S.T.H. 35, River Falls-Hudson Road	60132	01-29-76	DOT
Wyoming	Converse	FINAL	Morton Ranch Uranium Mining	60083	01-20-76	TVA
	Johnson	DRAFT	Proposed Middle Fork Reservoir, Powder River	60099	01-23-76	DOI
	Platte	DRAFT	Missouri Basin Power Project, Platte Co.	60058	01-15-76	USDA

FROM 01-01-76
TO 01-31-76

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE				
Forest Service				
	DRAFT	Salina Planning Unit, Fishlake N.F.	60001	01-02-76
		Cankerworm Suppression in Pennsylvania - 1976	60002	01-02-76
		Meadows Unit Land Use Plan, Payette N.F.	60062	01-15-76
		Twisp-Winthrop-Conconully Unit, Okanogan N.F.	60064	01-15-76
		Truckee-Little Truckee Rivers Unit Plans	60070	01-19-76
		S. Lindenberg Peninsula Unit Plan, Tongass N.F.	60075	01-19-76
		Pacific Northern Co. Timber Sale, Tongass N.F.	60080	01-20-76
		Caney Unit Plan, Kisatchie N.F.	60084	01-21-76
		Chemult Unit Land Use Plan, Winema N.F.	60112	01-26-76
		Red River Unit Plan, Nezperce N.F.	60136	01-29-76
	FINAL	Proposed Mogollon Rim Land Use Plan	60007	01-05-76
		Honker Divide Land Use Plan, Tongass N.F.	60027	01-07-76
		Callahan Planning Unit, Kootenai N.F.	60054	01-14-76
		Dixie N.F. Timber Management Plan	60061	01-15-76
Rural Electrification Administration				
	DRAFT	(50 MW) Combustion Turbine, Kansas 53 Central	60012	01-05-76
		Missouri Basin Power Project, Platte Co.	60058	01-15-76
	FINAL	Big Cajun No. 2 Power Station	60059	01-15-76
		Wansley Project, Atlanta	60144	01-30-76
Soil Conservation Service				
	DRAFT	Little River Watershed, Decatur County	60035	01-08-76
		Boulder River Watershed	60095	01-22-76
	FINAL	Ozan Creeks Watershed	60019	01-06-76
		Country Line Creek Watershed	60021	01-06-76
		East Upper Maple River Watershed	60047	01-12-76
		Oak Orchard Creek Watershed	60050	01-12-76
		Farm Brook Watershed	60056	01-14-76
		South Fork Watershed Project	60068	01-19-76
		Elk Creek Watershed, W. Va.	60101	01-23-76
		Second Broad River Watershed	60104	01-28-76
		Shuqualak Creek Watershed Project	60108	01-26-76
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE				
Maritime Administration				
	FINAL	Vessels for Offshore Oil and Gas Drilling	60067	01-16-76
Nat'l Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin.				
	DRAFT	Listing of Three Sea Turtles as Threatened Species	60148	01-30-76
	FINAL	Proposed NOAA Western Regional Headquarters	60098	01-22-76
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army				
	DRAFT	Demilitarization of M34 Stockpile (Supplement D)	60124	01-27-76

FROM 01-01-76
TO 01-31-76

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps	DRAFT	Petit Anse, Tigre & Carlin Bayous; and Bayou Gross	60016	01-05-76
		Trimble Wildlife Area, Smithville Lake (Supplement	60022	01-06-76
		Lake Ponchartrain, North Shore Dredging	60055	01-14-76
		Clinton River Dredging, Disposal Facility	60063	01-15-76
		Arkansas R., Keystone Lake to Webbers Falls	60065	01-15-76
		Conchas Lake O&M, Canadian River	60069	01-19-76
		Bayou Segnette Waterway & Barataria Bay Waterway	60088	01-21-76
		Applegate Reservoir, Rogue River Basin	60093	01-22-76
		Port San Luis Navigation Project	60115	01-26-76
		Local Flood Protection, Three Rivers (Supplement)	60126	01-28-76
		Delmarva Waterway	60128	01-28-76
	FINAL	Collins Generating Station	60006	01-02-76
		The Siuslaw and Umpqua Estuaries, Dredging	60008	01-05-76
		Ontanagon Harbor, Operation and Maintenance	60009	01-05-76
		Cornucopia and Port Wing Harbors	60010	01-05-76
		Ashtabula Harbor, Operations and Maintenance	60011	01-05-76
		Yazoo River Basin Flood Control	60013	01-05-76
		Libby Dam & Lake Koocanusa, Kootenai R. (Supplemen	60020	01-06-76
		Frenchboro Harbor, Maine, (Supplement)	60028	01-07-76
		Sabine-Neches Waterway, Maintenance Dredging	60032	01-08-76
		Southern Branch of Elizabeth River (2)	60041	01-12-76
		Great Sodus Bay, Little Sodus Bay, Oswego Harbors	60060	01-15-76
		Anahuac Channel & Channel to Liberty, Dredging	60073	01-19-76
		Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Maintenance Dredging	60074	01-19-76
		Savannah Harbor, Operation and Maintenance	60082	01-20-76
		Gulfport Harbor, Mississippi	60089	01-21-76
		Hawaii Kai Marina, Permit Actions	60092	01-22-76
		Mobil Bay Exploratory Oil Well Permit	60103	01-23-76
		Extension of Entrance to Islais Creek Channel	60131	01-29-76
		Teche-Vermilion Basins, Water Supply	60133	01-29-76
		Corpus Christi Beach Restoration Project	60135	01-29-76
		Kanawha River Navigation System	60140	01-30-76
		Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Texas	60143	01-30-76
		Bulk Transfer Terminal, Bayou Casotte	60145	01-30-76
		Marco Island, Deltona Corp. Permit	60146	01-30-76
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy	FINAL	Abrasive Blasting of Naval Ship Hulls	60052	01-12-76
DEPARTMENT OF HUD	FINAL	Los Angeles Dam and Resevoir Project	60043	01-11-76

FROM 01-01-76
TO 01-31-76

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF HUD				
Section 104(h)	FINAL	Sunblest Subdivision, Fishers, Indiana	60125	01-27-76
	DRAFT	Center City Project, National City, California	60102	01-23-76
		Springdale Water and Sewerage Improvements	60116	01-26-76
		Buenaventura Drive, Redding	60137	01-30-76
	FINAL	Cleveland's Demolition Program	60005	01-02-76
		Boston Demolition Plan	60044	01-12-76
		Los Angeles Harbor Industrial Center Redevelopment	60051	01-12-76
		Mahon School Area Rehabilitation, Lubbock	60100	01-23-76
		Pulaski Pike Area Water System, Huntsville	60138	01-30-76
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR				
Bonneville Power Administration	DRAFT	Bonneville Dam Integrating Transmission (S, FY 77)	60110	01-26-76
	FINAL	Southwestern Power Admin., Construction & Maintena	60106	01-26-76
Bureau of Land Management	DRAFT	Proposed Foothills Project, Denver County	60039	01-09-76
		Proposed Middle Fork Reservoir, Powder River	60099	01-23-76
Bureau of Outdoor Recreation	DRAFT	Penobscot Wild and Scenic River	60085	01-21-76
Bureau of Reclamation	FINAL	Coal Gasification Project, Navajo Mine	60057	01-14-76
Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife	FINAL	Use of Steel Shot for Waterfowl Hunting	60034	01-08-76
National Park Service	FINAL	F.D. Roosevelt National Historic Site	60141	01-30-76
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION				
Federal Aviation Administration	DRAFT	Everglades Jetport Replacement	60078	01-19-76
		Guam International Airport	60079	01-19-76
		Loop 390, U.S. 80 to U.S. 59, Marshall	60086	01-21-76
		Mount Pleasant Municipal Airport	60123	01-27-76
	FINAL	Air Route Surveillance Radar, Eugene	60091	01-22-76
Federal Highway Administration	DRAFT	S.R. 1 Bridge Over the Penn Central RR, Dearborn C	60003	01-02-76
		Belknap Street Viaduct, City of Superior	60004	01-02-76
		Johnson-Beardsley Realignment, Elkhart County	60025	01-07-76
		Grove Street: Lewis to Elm, Sedgwick County	60033	01-08-76
		Lake-Will Freeway, Illinois Rte.68 to Illinois Rte	60036	01-08-76
		Bobby Jones Expressway: US 25 Savannah River	60040	01-09-76
		East Locust Street, U.S.141 to Oakland Avenue	60049	01-12-76
		L.R. 1137-B03, Meadville to Titusville	60087	01-21-76

FROM 01-01-76
TO 01-31-76

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION				
Federal Highway Administration				
	DRAFT	Route 185, Franklin and Washington Counties	60094	01-22-76
		Loop 463, Victoria County	60114	01-26-76
		El Toro Road, Orange County	60117	01-26-76
		South Knoxville Boulevard, U.S. 441 to S.R. 158	60118	01-26-76
		State Route 36, Rock Creek to Johnson City	60127	01-28-76
		S.T.H. 35, River Falls-Hudson Road	60132	01-29-76
	FINAL	SR 63, US 36 to US 136	60014	01-05-76
		Tenth Street Improvements, Bartholomew Co.	60023	01-06-76
		US 77, Lancaster Co., Neb.	60024	01-06-76
		SR 7, Bellaire, Ohio	60046	01-12-76
		Head Road - Airport Road Section	60048	01-12-76
		Southeastern Beltway Section A, Columbia	60072	01-19-76
		Interstate Route 595, Virginia	60076	01-19-76
		Vista Avenue-Ridenbaugh Canal-U.S. 30 Connection	60105	01-26-76
		Moscow Couplet, U.S. 95	60119	01-26-76
		Florence Avenue, Bloomfield Ave. to Telegraph Rd.	60139	01-30-76
U.S.Coast Guard				
	FINAL	LORAN-C Chain, Moses Lake, Washington (Supplement)	60081	01-20-76
Urban Mass Transportation Administration				
	FINAL	Bicentennial Transportation Program	60053	01-13-76
		5th and 6th Avenues Transit Mall, Portland	60129	01-29-76
ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMIN.				
	DRAFT	Synthetic Fuels Commercialization Program	50066	01-16-76
		Intense Neutron Source Facility, Los Alamos	60097	01-22-76
		Positron-Electron Storage Ring Project, Stanford	60111	01-26-76
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY				
	DRAFT	Emission Standard for Vinyl Chloride	60017	01-05-76
		Wastewater Collection & Treatment, Scarborough	60029	01-07-76
		Winnipesaukee River Basin, Wastewater Facilities	60030	01-07-76
		Greater Globe-Miami, Arizona Wastewater Treatment	60037	01-08-76
		Oklahoma City Wastewater Facilities	60130	01-29-76
		Evaporative Emission Regs.- '78 & Later Vehicles	60134	01-29-76
	FINAL	Chambers Creek Sewerage System	60015	01-05-76
		N. Fremont Co. Wastewater Facilities	60071	01-19-76
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION				
	FINAL	Eascogas, Distrigas, LNG Permit (Supplement)	60018	01-05-76

FROM 01-01-76
TO 01-31-76

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION				
	FINAL	Trunkline Gas Co. Curtailment	60045	01-12-76
		Eascogas, Algonquin Gas, LNG Permits (Supplement)	60090	01-22-76
		Gerald Gentleman Station, Project #1835	60109	01-26-76
		Columbia Gas Transmission Corp., Curtailment	60120	01-26-76
		Consolidated Gas Co., Curtailment	60121	01-27-76
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION				
	DRAFT	Federal Building and Courthouse, Panama City	60038	01-09-76
		Virginia Heating Plant Improvements, Arlington	60107	01-26-76
		Otisville Federal Correctional Institution	60142	01-30-76
	FINAL	Washington Technical Institute and International C	60147	01-30-76
INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION				
	DRAFT	Commercial Zones and Terminal Areas	60122	01-27-76
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION				
	DRAFT	Sterling Power Project Unit 1, Cayuga County	60026	01-07-76
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY				
	FINAL	Raccoon Mountain Pumped-Storage Plant	60077	01-19-76
		Morton Ranch Uranium Mining	60083	01-20-76

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact:

Coordinator of Environmental
Quality Activities
Office of the Secretary
U.S.Department of Agriculture
Room 359-A,
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-3965

Forest Service

Draft	Date

S. Lindenberg Peninsula Unit Plan, Tongass N.F. 01/19

Alaska
The proposed action is the initiation of a land use plan which will direct the management of the South Lindenberg Peninsula Management Unit, Tongass National Forest. The unit encompasses approximately 64,600 acres on Kupreanof Island in southeastern Alaska. Proposed development within the unit would include principally the construction of about 100 miles of access roads within an essentially unroaded area, and initiation of timber harvest within approximately 33,650 acres of productive forest land. Adverse effects include alteration of wildlife behavior patterns and populations.
(ELR ORDER # 60075)

Pacific Northern Co. Timber Sale, Tongass N.F. 01/20

Alaska
Proposed is the clearcutting of 220 million board feet of timber during the 1977-1981 operating period of the Pacific Northern Timber Company. The proposed cutting areas cover portions of Wrangell, Etolin, Woronkofski, Zarembo and Kitkoi Islands in Tongass National Forest, Alaska. Surface erosion will be accelerated in some areas and sediment production to streams will increase. The proposed action will modify 267,400 acres of land to the degree that it will no longer qualify for consideration for inclusion into the Wilderness Preservation System. Permanent roads and quarries will be constructed.
(ELR ORDER # 60080)

Truckee-Little Truckee Rivers Unit Plans 01/19

California Nevada
This statement concerns a proposed land use plan for portions of the Truckee and Sierraville Ranger Districts, Tahoe National Forest and a part of the Carson Ranger District, Toiyabe National Forest. The plan applies to 156,955 acres of National Forest land located within a study area boundary containing 320,000 acres. The unit is

primarily recreational, other uses being those of timber, range, and wildlife. Plan implementation could result in adverse effects on transportation system, and a reduction in air quality.
(ELR ORDER # 60070)

Meadows Unit Land Use Plan, Payette N.F. 01/15

Idaho
County: Adams Idaho
Proposed is a land use plan for the 141,406 acre Meadows Planning Unit, Payette National Forest, Idaho. In the process of plan development the unit was divided into six management areas which were further divided into management units. Adverse effects include increases in noise and air pollution, increased sedimentation, and the displacment of some wildlife within the project area.
(ELR ORDER # 60062)

Red River Unit Plan, Nezperce N.F. 01/29

Idaho
County: Idaho
The proposed action involves implementation of a multiple use plan for the Red River Planning Unit, Red River Ranger District, Nezperce National Forest. Approximately 90,800 acres are included in the planning unit: 88,060 acres of National Forest, and 2,740 acres of private land. Major man-caused environmental impacts include timber harvest and culture, road and trail construction, mining, domestic livestock grazing, recreational activities, and wildfire control.
(ELR ORDER # 60136)

Caney Unit Plan, Kisatchie N.F. 01/21

Louisiana
County: Claiborne Webster
The statement concerns the ten year management plan for the Caney Unit, Kisatchie National Forest. The plan includes timber harvesting and regeneration of 366 acres, management of wildlife habitat through coordination of timber-wildlife management activities, development of recreation facilities, construction or reconstruction of 9 miles of road, prescribed burning of 4,500 acres for wildlife, timber, and fire control activities, and the management of Middle Fork Bayou D'Arbonne as a Scenic River.
(ELR ORDER # 60084)

Chemult Unit Land Use Plan, Winema N.F. 01/26

Oregon

County: Klamath Lake
The proposed action consists of a Land Use Plan for 272,558 acres, of which 262,458 acres are on the Winema National Forest, and 10,100 acres are in the Fremont National Forest. Adverse impact will be mostly from road construction, logging activities, and livestock grazing. Some wildlife habitat will be disturbed that may result in population fluctuations of some species.
(ELR ORDER # 60112)

Cankerworm Suppression in Pennsylvania - 1976 01/02

Pennsylvania
This statement is an analysis of impacts associated with a proposed suppression of fall cankerworm infestations on 20,000 acres of state and private forests in northcentral Pennsylvania. Subjects discussed are the impacts of aerial applications of the chemical insecticide Dylox 1.5 oil. Possible adverse effects include increased noise levels from project aircraft and ground support equipement, and temporary displacement of wildlife caused by the activity.
(ELR ORDER # 60002)

Salina Planning Unit, Fishlake N.F. 01/02

Utah
County: Sevier
Proposed is a Land Use Plan for the 328,990 - acre Salina Planning Unit located on the Fishlake National Forest, Utah. Adverse effects include the elimination of vegetative cover by mining, road construction, and heavy recreation pressure. Some wildlife habitat, primarily big game winter range, will be destroyed where the remainder of I-70 is to be constructed.
(ELR ORDER # 60001)

Twisp-Winthrop-Conconully Unit, Okanogan N.F. 01/15

Washington
County: several
Proposed is a land use plan for the Twisp-Winthrop-Conconully Planning Unit, Okanogan National Forest. The unit includes about 1,478,000 acres of National Forest lands lying in Okanogan, Chelan, Skagit, and Whatcom Counties, Washington. The proposed action will result in a decrease of 5 million board feet of timber below the amount currently expected from the Planning Unit. Adverse effects to air, soil, and water resources will result from plan implementation.
(ELR ORDER # 60064)

Final — Date

Honker Divide Land Use Plan, Tongass N.F. — 01/07

Alaska
The statement concerns the land use plan for the Honker Divide Management Unit, Prince of Wales Island, on the Tongass National Forest. The plan proposes managing the Snakey Lakes and Thorne River-Hatchery Creek water travel route in a natural appearing environment. The remainder of unit would be managed to optimize the fish, wildlife, timber water and recreation resources. Adverse impacts will result from timber harvest: requisite road construction, increased sediments to streams, and change of wildlife habitat.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HUD DOC COE DOI
(ELR ORDER # 60027)

Proposed Mogollon Rim Land Use Plan — 01/05

Arizona
County: Coconino Gila Navajo
The statement refers to a land use proposal for the 750,000 acre Mogollon Rim Area, which lies within the Coconino, Sitgreaves, and Tonto National Forests. The proposal recommends land use emphasis and management for each of the area's 30 management units, based upon land sensitivity and capabilities. Under the plan, existing levels of productivity would be maintained.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA USDA
state and local agencies
interested groups and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 60007)

Callahan Planning Unit, Kootenai N.F. — 01/14

Montana Idaho
Proposed is the implementation of a revised multiple use plan for the 54,391 acre Callahan Planning Unit of the Kootenai National Forest. The Unit has been stratified into 8 resources, dispersed recreation, and big game habitat. Adverse impact will include continued soil and vegetation disturbance, as well as those conditions normally associated with the development of unroaded areas.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60054)

Dixie N.F. Timber Management Plan — 01/15

Utah
County: several
The statement concerns a timber management plan for the 609.557 acres of productive forest land in Dixie National Forest. The management direction provides for a programmed harvest of 19.4 million board feet of sawtimber

per year. Temporary adverse effects will be on air, natural beauty, outdoor recreation, range wildlife, fire control, insect and disease control, and soil and water conditions because of the dust and noise from harvesting operations, slash created by harvesting, and increased activity in the forest.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA EPA HEW HUD DOI
state agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 60061)

Rural Electrification Administration

Draft — Date

(50 MW) Combustion Turbine, Kansas 53 Central — 01/05

Kansas
County: Finney
Proposed is the addition of a 50-megawatt combustion turbine at Garden City, Kansas. Operation of the unit will result in the emission of nitrogen and sulfur oxides and an insignificant quantity of particulates. Water will be obtained from existing wells, and the unit will discharge approximately 5 gpm to the Arkansas River. Any biotic life associated with the immediate area proposed for the unit will be forced to move.
(ELR ORDER # 60012)

Missouri Basin Power Project, Platte Co. — 01/15

Wyoming
County: Platte
This action involves an application for loan guarantees to finance a portion of the Missouri Basin Power Project (Wheatland Generating Station, extensive transmission facilities, and Grayrock Reservoir). The plant and reservoir will be located in Platte County, Wyoming. Adverse effects include the release of some oxides of sulphur and nitrogen along with a small amount of particulate matter. There will also be flow changes in the Laramie River, which will affect the North Platte River.
(ELR ORDER # 60058)

Final — Date

Wansley Project, Atlanta — 01/30

Georgia
County: Heard Carroll
This project entails the construction of a steam electric generating plant, the associated switching station, and three transmission lines connecting this plant into a statewide transmission system. Adverse impacts include the impoundment of 3 stream miles of Yellowdirt Creek, the loss

of 720 acres of wildlife habitat, the clearing of an additional 2000 acres of wildlife habitat, the consumption of 190 million tons of coal and 190 million gallons of oil, emissions of various stack gases, and minimal seepage from ponds.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA USDA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60144)

Big Cajun No. 2 Power Station 01/15

Louisiana
County: Pointe Coupee
This project involves the construction of a new 1080 megawatt coal-fired generating station on the Mississippi River near New Roads, Louisiana. The station will consist two 540 megawatt steam generating units and switching yards for related transmission. Adverse impacts include the release of some sulfur and nitrogen oxides and particulate matter into the atmosphere, removal of 1,714 acres of pasture land from agricultural productivity, increased noise levels, slightly increased incidence of fogging, increased large traffic on the river, the discharge of liquid wastes into the river, increased coal mining, and temporary construction disruption.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA COE USDA DOT DOI
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60059)

Soil Conservation Service

Draft Date

Little River Watershed, Decatur County 01/08

Iowa
County: Decatur
Proposed is a project for watershed protection, flood control, municipal and industrial water supply, and fish and wildlife development in Decatur County, Iowa. The project consists of 4,830 acres of land treatment measures; 6 single-purpose flood prevention structures; and 1 multiple-purpose structure for flood prevention, municipal and industrial water supply, fish and wildlife water, and fish and wildlife development. Adverse effects include the permanent inundation of 950 acres, and the displacement of 2 families.
(ELR ORDER # 60035)

Boulder River Watershed 01/22

Montana
County: Jefferson
Proposed is a project for watershed protection, irrigation, and recreation in Jefferson County, Montana, to be entitled

the Boulder River Watershed Project. Plan implementation would commit 380 acres of land to the dam and reservoir, of which 350 acres will be for public recreational use when the reservoir is full. Adverse effects include the commitment of about 28.4 acres of forested land for road and power line relocation, and the displacement of three families.
(ELR ORDER # 60095)

Final — Date

Ozan Creeks Watershed — 01/06

Arkansas
County: Hempstead
The statement refers to the Ozan Creeks Watershed Project in Hempstead County. The project will provide watershed protection and flood prevention by the application of conservation land treatment measures and by the installation of land stabilization measures and 22 floodwater retarding structures. Adverse impacts are use of 856 acres, conversion of 14 miles of natural streams to reservoir areas, 300 acres of bottom land hardwood may be converted to cropland, and increased sedimentation during construction.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA HEW DOI DOT EPA AHP
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60019)

South Fork Watershed Project — 01/19

Arkansas
County: Montgomery
The South Fork Watershed Project will provide watershed protection, flood prevention, and a dependable water supply for the City of Mount Ida, Arkansas. The structures and offsite borrow areas will require 52 acres of grassland and 257 acres of woodland. About five miles of natural streams will be converted to reservoir areas.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA DOI HEW DOT EPA AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60068)

Farm Brook Watershed — 01/14

Connecticut
County: New Haven
The purpose of the project is to provide flood damage reduction and recreation opportunities. The project consists of conservation land treatment, one floodwater retarding structure, recreational facilities and about 5,000 feet of stream channel work. Adverse impacts include the displacement of 50 people, three farms and one business, the inundation of 82 acres of land, and the disturbance of 4.6 acres by channel work.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC DOI DOT EPA USDA

one individual
(ELR ORDER # 60056)

East Upper Maple River Watershed 01/12

Michigan
County: Schiawassee Clinton Gratiot
This revised final eis for the East Upper Maple River Watershed project proposes conservation land treatment on 31,865 acres, 43.9 miles of channel improvement, 2.0 miles of dredging, 1.1 mile of floodway, 11.7 miles of levee, 10.6 miles of collection channels, 2 pumping stations, one dam, recreational development, and fish and wildlife development. Adverse effects include: loss of 1,320 acres of forest land; reduced wildlife habitat value of 2,375 acres; reduced fish cover and river bottom plants and invertebrates for several years; increased noise, air, visual, and solid waste pollution due to additional recreational visits; and increased flooding in one area.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA DOI DOT EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60047)

Shuqualak Creek Watershed Project 01/26

Mississippi
County: Noxubee Kemper
Proposed is a project for watershed protection and flood prevention in Noxubee and Kemper Counties, Mississippi There will be about 67 acres of land cleared for sediment pools, the dam and spillway areas, and along the channel work rights-of-way. There will be a loss of agricultural production from 165 acres of cropland, 185 acres of pastures, 517 acres of forest land, and 52 acres of other land. In addition, there will be a loss of 153 acres of wildlife habitat on lands to be in the sediment pools. Temporary water and air pollution will result during construction.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA HEW DOI DOT EPA
(ELR ORDER # 60108)

Oak Orchard Creek Watershed 01/12

New York
County: Genesee Orleans
The statement refers to the Oak Orchard Creek Watershed project, which will consist of conservation land treatment measures on 12,050 acres and about 90.8 miles of channel work. Installation of structures will result in the loss of 10 acres of cropland and 28 acres of forest land. There will be disturbance to wildlife habitat, and temporary construction activities.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW DOI DOT EPA AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60050)

Final — Date

Country Line Creek Watershed — 01/06

North Carolina
County: Rockingham Caswell
Proposed is a watershed project to be carried out in Country Line Creek Watershed, Caswell and Rockingham Counties, North Carolina. The project proposes conservation land treatment over the watershed, one multiple purpose structure for recreation with a complete recreational development. Adverse effects include the elimination of 1,100 acres of wildlife habitat and the displacement of 15 families.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP DOI HEW EPA FPC DOT state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60021)

Second Broad River Watershed — 01/28

North Carolina
County: McDowell Rutherford Cleveland
The statement concerns a project for watershed protection and flood protection on 15,795 acres of the Second Broad River Watershed and includes construction of 10 single-purpose floodwater retarding structures, and two multiple-purpose structures. The project will inundate 10 miles of stream fishery habitat; eliminate 470 acres of wildlife habitat; and eliminate 399 acres of productive forest land.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW DOT USA DOI EPA state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60104)

Elk Creek Watershed, W. Va. — 01/23

West Virginia
County: Barbour Harrison Upshur
Proposed is a watershed protection and flood prevention project located in Balbour, Harrison, and Upshur Counties, West Virginia. Project implementation would involve the installation of land treatment measures over the entire watershed; installation of 12 single-purpose floodwater retarding structures; installation of one multilple-purpose structure with basic recreation facilities; and installation of 7,050 feet of stream channel work. Adverse effects include the alteration of 1.34 miles of stream channel; and the relocation of 69 people, 23 homes, and 2 mobile homes.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOT FPC AHP, state and regional agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60101)

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Contact: Dr. Sidney R. Galler
Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Environmental Affairs
Department of Commerce
Washington, D.C. 20230
(202) 967-4335

Maritime Administration

Final — Date

Vessels for Offshore Oil and Gas Drilling — 01/16

The statement concerns a proposal to guarantee the obligations (notes, bonds, etc.) including interest, that are obtained in the private market by US citizens for the construction in United States shipyards of offshore oil and gas drilling vessels under Title XI Program. The program is intended to encourage new construction or modernization of American merchant vessels. The environmental impacts will be those associated with offshore oil and gas production: risk of accidental or chronic oil spillage and the release of oily waste water resulting from operational procedures.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI FPA USCG DOC ERDA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60067)

Nat'l Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin.

Draft — Date

Listing of Three Sea Turtles as Threatened Species — 01/30

Proposed is the listing and protection, by regulation, of the green sea turtle (Chelonia mydas), the loggerhead sea turtle (Caretta caretta), and the Pacific redley sea turtle (Lepidochelys olivacea) as threatened species under the authority of the Endangered Species Act of 1973. The action is designed to prevent further decline in the populations of the three species of sea turles. The major adverse impact will be a short-term economic impact and will be suffered by those who take, import, export, and trade these species and/or their products.
(ELR ORDER # 60148)

Final — Date

Proposed NOAA Western Regional Headquarters — 01/22

Washington
County: King
The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)

proposes to construct a Western Regional Headquarters facility on a 100-acre site in the northwest portion of the 312-acre former Sand Point Naval Air Station, Seattle. Facilitieswould include research laboratories, administrative offices, storage facilities, a Weather Service forcast office, a cafeteria and auditorium. Approximately 1,100 parking spaces will be needed. Adverse impacts will result from dredging and construction activities.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA USA HEW HUD DOI DOT ERDA EPA
state and local agencies
interested groups and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 60098)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army

Contact: Mr. George A. Cunney, Jr.
Acting Chief, Environmental Office
Directorate of Installations
Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff
for Logistics
Washington, D.C. 20310
(202) OX 4-4269

Draft — Date

Demilitarization of M34 Stockpile (Supplement D) — 01/27

Colorado
This is a supplement to the final EIS covering the demilitarization and disposal of the M34 GB Cluster Bomb at Rocky Mountain Arsenal, Colorado, which was filed with CEQ 20 September 1973. This supplement covers an additional quantity of GB which is contained in M190 (Honest John) warheads and M139 bomblets. This program involves the disposal of 106 M190 warheads (368 bomblets each), 1,222 M139 bomblets, and 39,532 M139 bomblet halves, totaling approximately 78,000 pounds of GB.
(ELR ORDER # 60124)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps

Contact: Dr. C. Grant Ash
Office of Environmental Policy Development
Attn: DAEN-CWR-P
Office of the Chief of Engineers
U. S. Army Corps of Engineers
1000 Independence Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20314
(202) 693-6795

Draft — Date

Port San Luis Navigation Project — 01/26

California
County: San Luis Obispo
Proposed is the Port San Luis Navigation Project, San Luis Obispo County, California. The recommended plan would include construction of a detached rubblemound breadwater, removal of rock pinnacles in the channel and anchorage areas, and provision of anchorage areas totalling about 50 acres. Adverse effects include destruction of about 15 acres of benthic habitat and temporary turbidity effects upon marine organisms from dredging and breakwater construction. Some alteration of the esthetic appearance of the shoreline and harbor area will take place. (Los Angeles District)
(ELR ORDER # 60115)

Delmarva Waterway — 01/28

Delaware Maryland Virginia
Proposed is the construction of a continuous, navigable waterway from Cape Charles, Virginia, in the Chesapeake Bay, to Cape Henlopen, Delaware in the Delaware Bay, a distance of 150 miles. Total surface area included in the project alignment is 2590 acres ; 38.3 acres of marsh cut are included. The 76 proposed disposal sites total 2821 acres - 533 acres for initial project construction and 2588 acres for maintenance dredging disposal over the 50-year project life. Adverse effects include local changes in habitat from excavation and filling. (Philadelphia District)
(ELR ORDER # 60128)

Petit Anse, Tigre & Carlin Bayous; and Bayou Gross — 01/05

Louisiana
County: several
The proposed project provides for the operational maintenance dredging of the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway (GIWW) and Bayous Grosse Tete, Petit Anse, Tigre and Carlin. Dissolved oxygen levels will be temporarily depressed at the dredge site and turbidity will be temporarily increased.

Disposal of the dredged material may cause long-term local changes in water circulation, acreages of various habitat near the waterway, and in the structure of the aquatic systems present. (New Orleans District)
(ELR ORDER # 60016)

Lake Ponchartrain, North Shore Dredging 01/14

Louisiana
County: Jefferson Orleans St. Bernard
Proposed is the Federal assumption of maintenance dredging of the entrance channel and harbor area of Bayou Castine. Maintenance will be performed using a hydraulic dredge, and the dredged material placed as a base for beach construction at the Fontainebleau State Park. Adverse effects include the disturbance of approximately 7.5 acres of water bottom and its benthic fauna. Dredging and dredged material deposition will cause temporary increases in turbidity, forcing a reduction in primary production in the disturbed ares. (New Orleans District)
(ELR ORDER # 60055)

Bayou Segnette Waterway & Barataria Bay Waterway 01/21

Louisiana
The statement concerns the maintenance dredging to provide a channel from Company Canal at Westwego to the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway via Bayou Segnette, a land cut, and Bayou Villars, a length of 12.2 miles, and a channel from Barataria to the 12-foot contour in the Gulf of Mexico at Grand Isle, Louisiana, a length of 37 miles. A total of 4,259 acres of marsh and 612 acres of open water are committed to disposal areas. Water pollution, increased turbidity, and destruction of the benthic habitat will result.
(ELR ORDER # 60088)

Clinton River Dredging, Disposal Facility 01/15

Michigan
County: Macomb
Proposed is the construction of a diked disposal facility for maintenance dredging of the Clinton River Federal Navigation Channel. Placement of dredged material on the proposed site, 3 miles upstream of the mouth of the Clinton River, will restore 30 acres of unused, Federally-owned land the the local community. Adverse effects include the destruction of 30 acres of desirable vegetation. If accidental spills/leaks occur, impairment to water quality will occur in the waterfront area of adjacent residences. (Detroit District)
(ELR ORDER # 60063)

Trimble Wildlife Area, Smithville Lake (Supplement) 01/06

Missouri
County: Jackson Ray Clay
This statement is intended to replace a previous draft supplement filed with CEQ 14 April 1975. Proposed is authorization for acquisition of 1,510 acres of land in Jackson, Ray, and Clay Counties, Missouri, for basic replacement of Trimble Wildlife Area and acquisition of an additional 1,500 acres of land in lieu of development. Adverse effects include loss of agricultural production and relocation of 4 family units.
(ELR ORDER # 60022)

Conchas Lake O&M, Canadian River 01/19

New Mexico
County: San Miguel
Proposed is the continued operation and maintenance of Conchas Lake for irrigation and flood control. Project implementation would involve implementation of the current Master Plan including expansion and upgrading of roads and project lands and landscaping. Adverse effects include the loss of existing vegetation and small animal habitat, and the intermittent inundation of some 13,375 acres. (Albuquerque District)
(ELR ORDER # 60069)

Arkansas R., Keystone Lake to Webbers Falls 01/15

Oklahoma
County: several
Proposed is the construction of stone dikes and toe trench revetment structures to prevent bank caving and to stabilize the channel of the Arkansas River in a 54 mile reach between the 51st street bridge over the Arkansas River in Tulsa, Oklahoma at river Mile 518, to river Mile 464 in the upper pool of Webbers Falls Lock and Dam, Muskogee, Oklahoma. Adverse effects include the decrease of available wildlife habitat over the total life of the project. (Tulsa District)
(ELR ORDER # 60065)

Applegate Reservoir, Rogue River Basin 01/22

Oregon
County: Jackson
Proposed is the construction and operation of Applegate Lake, one component of theRogue River Basin Project, in Jackson County, Oregon. Project purposes include flood control, irrigation, water supply, fish and wildlife enhancement, recreation, and water quality control. Project implementation would cause the inundation of about 60 acres and the displacement of 36 residences.
(ELR ORDER # 60093)

Draft — Date

Local Flood Protection, Three Rivers (Supplement) — 01/28

Texas
County: Live Oak
Proposed is a project to construct a levee system to protect the city of Three Rivers, Texas, from flooding of the Frio and Nueces Rivers. The 4.6 mile long levee and three borrow areas will involve about 176.7 acres of land. Adverse effects include the change in land use of approximately 176.7 acres. Five archeological sites will be disturbed and eight family units will be relocated.
(ELR ORDER # 60126)

Final — Date

Mobil Bay Exploratory Oil Well Permit — 01/23

Alabama
The proposed action is the issuance of a section 10 Permit for the drilling of an exploratory oil well in Mobile Bay as requested by Mobile Oil Corporation. Adverse impacts include increased noise levels in the Dauphin Island vicinity, degradation of the aesthetic quality of the area, and the possibility of oil spills which would affect beaches, wetlands and the Bay ecosystem. (Mobile District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOC HUD DOT EPA USDA
State of Alabama, conservation groups and citizens
(ELR ORDER # 60103)

Extension of Entrance to Islais Creek Channel — 01/29

California
County: San Francisco
Proposed is the extension of the existing Islais Creek navigation channel entrance. The action consists of deepening a 500-foot-wide strip of approach area to 40 feet and removal of shoal area east of the Army Street terminal to 35 feet. The 560,000 cubic yards of dredged material will be disposed of at Alcatraz. Adverse effects include resuspension of silt with possible adverse effects on fish and planktonic life; removal of sessile benthic organisms; disruption of benthic fauna; resuspension of heavy metal ions; and temporary oxygen sag in the dredge and disposal area.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOC HEW
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60131)

Marco Island, Deltona Corp. Permit 01/30

Florida
County: Collier
The statement concerns the consideration of dredge and fill permit applications by Deltona Corporation for the purpose of developing a water recreation-oriented retirement and second-home community and resort center. A total of 18.2 million cubic yards of material will be excavated and used as fill material to create upland areas. The proposal would result in the loss of about 2,200 acres of mangroves and the destruction or disruption of the associated biota and a decline in total natural productivity would not be reclaimed. In addition, a total of 735 acres of bay bottom would be excavated with destruction of the benthic communities inhabitating the area.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC DOI EPA HUD DOL
state and local agencies
interested groups and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 60146)

Savannah Harbor, Operation and Maintenance 01/20

Georgia
County: Chatham
The statement discusses long-range maintenance of Savannah Harbor. The removal of approximately 7 million cu. yds. of material is necessary to maintain the authorized depth and width of the navigation channel. Several features of the proposal include construction and enlargement of ponding dikes, availability and potential capacity of existing disposal areas, ocean dumping, recycling of dredged materials, use of other ports, and continued research into new disposal methods. Adverse impacts include increased turbidity and suspended solids, loss of plankton and benthic organisms during dredging, and loss of aquatic and tidal wildlife habitat, and area economic loss.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC HUD DOI USCG DOT EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60082)

Hawaii Kai Marina, Permit Actions 01/22

Hawaii
County: Honolulu
The statement concerns the issuance of dredging permits to Kaiser-Aetna to perform maintenance dredging in Hawaii Kai Marina over a 10-year period and by individual marina residents to construct private boat docks in the marina. The dredged spoil will be allowed to dry in ponds, and later used as embankment material. The action will result in increased turbidity, loss of some marine life and nursery areas, reduction of vegetation cover on undeveloped urban land, and continued reduction in bird habitat, and could influence water quality. (Honolulu District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: APP USDA USAF DOC HUD DOI USCG EPA

state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60092)

Collins Generating Station 01/02

Illinois
The statement discusses the proposed Collins Generating Station, Goose Lake Township, Illinois. The station will employ live oil-fired boilers to produce steam for the generation of 2500 MW net of electrical power. The exhaust steam from the turbine generators will be cooled and condensed by the recirculation of water from a 1990 acre cooling lake which will be constructed under this proposal. Adverse impacts include the diversion of land toindustrial activity, inundation of 7 acres of the Goose Lake Prairie State Park, and increased fog caused by the heated lake. (Chicago District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AEC USDA DOT EPA AHP DOI FEA FPC DOC HUD state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60066)

Teche-Vermilion Basins, Water Supply 01/29

Louisiana
County: several
The project consists of a plan to restore flows diverted from the Teche-Vermilion basins by flood control projects as well as to provide additional water to these basins. There will be a change in use on 620 acres of land; 197 acres will become water bottoms and 423 acres will serve as the base for levees or for deposit of dredged material. The wildlife on these acres will be displaced or destroyed. Additional industrial and municipal growth that might be attracted by the project could have an adverse effect on water quality. (New Orleans District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA HEW USDA AHP DOC DOT state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60133)

Frenchboro Harbor, Maine, (Supplement) 01/07

Maine
Proposed is the dredging of a 5 acre outer harbor anchorage, 1.5 inner harbor anchorage, and a six foot connecting channel at Frenchboro Harbor, Long Island, Maine. Approximately 100,000 c.y. of sediment and 2,000 c.y. of rock will be excavated and deposited in an ocean area. Adverse effects include alteration of natural sea floor topography at dredge disposal sites, and destruction and redistribution of benthic organisms. (Waltham District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOC EPA HEW one state agency
(ELR ORDER # 60028)

Ontanagon Harbor, Operation and Maintenance 01/05

Michigan
County: Ontonagon
The action involves operation and maintenance of Ontonagon Harbor, Lake Superion, Michigan. Principal activities include breakwater repair, dredging, and dredge material disposal. Adverse impacts are increased turbidity, increased noise and congestion in the harbor, and land use alterations due to on-land placement of dredge material. (St. Paul District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOC DOI DOT
one state agency
(ELR ORDER # 60009)

Yazoo River Basin Flood Control 01/05

Mississippi
County: several
Proposed is the construction of four large levees (already completed), channel modifications, drainage control structures, levees, floodwalls, and pumping plants for the purpose of flood control in the Yazoo River Basin. Previous attempts at channel improvement have resulted in siltation, bank caving, and deterioration of channel efficiency. Approximately 44,600 acres of woodlands, 25,200 acres of cleared lands, and 11,600 acres of channel area would be committed to the project. (Vicksburg District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI HEW HUD AHP DOT
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60013)

Gulfport Harbor, Mississippi 01/21

Mississippi
County: Harrison
The proposed project is the continuation of routine maintenance dredging of the Gulfport Harbor. The project consists of a channel across Ship Island Bar, a channel through Mississippi Sound, an anchorage Basin at Gulfport and the maintenace of the small boat harbor. Adverse impacts will be loss of botton-dwelling organisms, increases in turbidity, increases in the amount of mercury and other heavy metals in the food chain (present concentrations exceed the EPA criteria for open water disposal), reduction in primary productivity, and loss of phytoplankton. (Mobile District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USDA DOT HUD
organizations
(ELR ORDER # 60089)

Bulk Transfer Terminal, Bayou Casotte 01/30

Mississippi

County: Jackson
Proposed is the issuance of a Section 10 Permit to the Jackson County Port Authority for the construction of a bulk transfer terminal at Bayou Casotte. Approximately 497,000 cu.yds. of material will be dredged; 13 acres of state owned water bottom, and 5 acres of productive salt marsh would be filled.
(Mobile District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOC EPA DOT USDA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60145)

Libby Dam & Lake Koocanusa, Kootenai R. (Supplement) 01/06

Montana
County: Lincoln
This statement is the final supplement to the draft supplement filed with CEQ 10 June 1974. The Libby Additional Units and Reregulating Dam project in Lincoln County would consist of the installation of 4 additional 105-megawatt generators and turbines at the main Libby Dam plus construction of a regulating dam, reservoir and control works on the Kootenai River 10 miles downstream. Adverse effects include inundation of 1,000 acres of bottom and forest land, relocation of Route 37, loss of fish and wildlife, and the displacement of 70 families. (Seattle District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP EPA USDA HEW HUD DOI DOT FPC GSA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60020)

Great Sodus Bay, Little Sodus Bay, Oswego Harbors 01/15

New York
County: several
The statement proposes the periodic dredging of about 43,000 cubic yards from Great Sodus Bay Harbor and 37,000 cubic yards from Oswego Harbor for the continued safe deep draft navigation in the harbors. Unpolluted sediments dredged from the Sodus Bay Harbors will be deposited in designated areas of Lake Ontario; polluted sediments dredged from Oswego Harbor will be deposited in a confined disposal facility upon its completion. Dredging will disturb benthic communities and fish populations, resuspend toxic substances, increase turbidity levels, generate noise, odor and dust and release air pollutants. (Buffalo District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC HEW DOI DOT EPA
individuals
(ELR ORDER # 60060)

Ashtabula Harbor, Operations and Maintenance 01/05

Ohio
County: Ashtabula

Proposed is the maintenance dredging of Ashtabula Harbor of approximately 140,000 cubic yards of sediment annually, which will be deposited in a designated area of Lake Erie. Adverse impacts include the disturbance of the benthic habitat and the further chemical and biological degradation of Lake Erie caused by open-lake dumping. (Buffalo District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC DOI USCG EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60011)

The Siuslaw and Umpqua Estuaries, Dredging 01/05

Oregon
County: Douglas Lane
The statement refers to maintenance dredging of the Siuslaw, Umpqua, and Smith Rivers. The Siuslaw River would be dredged to an 18-foot deep entrance channel, a 16 foot depth to Florence and 12 foot depth to Mapleton. The Umpqua River would be dredged to a 26-foot deep entrance channel, a 22-foot depth to Reedsport and 2 miles upstream in Scholfield Creek. A 6-foot deep channel would be dredged from the mouth of the Smith River to the mouth of the North Fork. The project will cause a decrease in water quality and wildlife habitat loss on disposal land. (Portland District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOC DOI AHP HUD DOT FPC
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60008)

Sabine-Neches Waterway, Maintenance Dredging 01/08

Texas
County: Jefferson Orange
The proposed action is the maintenance dredging of the Sabine-Neches Waterway for purposes of navigation. Removal of approximately 400 acres of tidal marsh will result from levee construction, and dredging operations will destroy benthic organisms. (Galveston District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC DOI HEW DOT USDA AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60032)

Anahuac Channel & Channel to Liberty, Dredging 01/19

Texas
County: Chambers Liberty
The statement concerns the maintenance dredging of Anahuac Channel and the Channel to Liberty on the Trinity River for purposes of continued safe navigation. The dredging will be accomplished by hydraulic pipeline dredge, and dredged materials will be placed in the open waters of Trinity Bay and in land disposal areas. Possible eventual emergence of open water disposal areas will eliminate about 156 acres of shallow water habitat. Dredging will also

disrupt the benthic habitat of the area. (Galveston District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOC USDA AHP HEW USCG HUD state and local agencies and local groups
(ELR ORDER # 60073)

Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Maintenance Dredging 01/19

Texas
County: Nueces
The proposed action is continued periodic maintenance dredging of the Corpus Christi Ship Channel and its branch channel to La Quinta to authorized project depths for purposes of navigation. The operations will be accomplished by contract hydraulic pipeline and government hopper dredges. Adverse impacts include contamination of land and open water disposal sites and increased turbidity. (Galveston District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW EPA DOC DOI DOT USDA AHP state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60074)

Corpus Christi Beach Restoration Project 01/29

Texas
The statement, a revised draft, refers to the restoration of Corpus Christi Beach. The plan of improvement provides for restoration and perodic nourishment of 1.4 miles of beach. Adverse impacts are the covering of 106 acres of bay bottom by restoration material, increased turbidity during dredging, and the destruction of some marinelife.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC HEW HUD DOI DOT EPA AHP state and local agencies and local groups
(ELR ORDER # 60135)

Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Texas 01/30

Texas
Proposed is the maintenance of the Texas Section of the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway (GIWW) and its tributary channels by periodic dredging of shoal deposits. The project includes alternate channel routes, barge mooring basins, fishing boat harbors, and turning basins. Dredging will be accomplished by hydraulic pipeline dredge. Adverse effects include the covering of vegetation and bottom dwelling organisms. (Galveston District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC DOI AHP HEW HUD DOT state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60143)

Southern Branch of Elizabeth River (2) 01/12

Virginia
The statement refers to the construction of a channel extension and a turning basin in the Southern Branch of Elizabeth River. Approximately 400 acres of land, on which levees and spillways will be constructed, will be used for disposal of spoil. Adverse impacts are the loss of three million cu. yds. of bottom biota, water turbidity during construction, localized alteration of annual salinity distribution, shoreline erosion, and the possible introduction of chemical pollutants through accidental spoil or by collisions. (Norfolk District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI
(ELR ORDER # 60041)

Kanawha River Navigation System 01/30

West Virginia
County: several
The proposed action is continuation of operation and maintenance of the navigation channel of the Kanawha River navigation system in Fayette, Kanawha, Putnam, and Mason Counties. Major adverse effects include sedimentation in the channel and deteriorated water quality. (Huntington District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOI DOT FPC AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60140)

Cornucopia and Port Wing Harbors 01/05

Wisconsin
County: Bayfield
The proposed action is the continued operation and maintenance of Cornucopia and Port Wing Harbors on Lake Superior. The harbors are used primarily by commercial fishing and recreation craft. Dredged materials would be deposited in an open-lake site at Port Wing Harbor, causing re-suspension of polluted materials, and in an on-land area at Cornucopia, killing existing vegetation. Temporary stockpiling on the lake shore of polluted dredged material for construction projects will result in leaching. (St. Paul District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP EPA USDA DOC DOI DOT
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60010)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy

Contact: Mr. Peter M. McDavitt
Special Assistant to the Assistant
Secretary of the Navy (Installations
and Logistics)
Washington D.C. 20350
(202) 692-3227

Final Date

Abrasive Blasting of Naval Ship Hulls 01/12

The statement refers to the use of abrasive blasting for maintenance of the outside of naval ships hulls at the Naval Ship Repair Facility, Guam, and the Naval Shipyards. The operation results in air and water pollution from debris; noise pollution from the use of machines, and the use of land for residue disposal.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HEW DOI DOC
state and regional agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60052)

ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMIN.

Contact: Mr. W. Herbert Pennington
Office of Assistant Administrator
B-201, ERDA
Washington, D.C. 20545
(301) 973-4241

Draft — Date

Synthetic Fuels Commercialization Program — 01/16

Proposed is an incentive program to ensure production at commercial scale of the equivalent of 350,000 barrels per day of synthetic fuels from coal, oil shale, and biomass (urban and other wastes). Synthetic fuels conversion plants constructed with aid of the proposed incentives would create air and water pollution and solid waste and create noise and aesthetic degradation. Conjunctive development necessary to support the plants would include mining, pipelines, and transmission lines, each of which would also impact air, water, and land. Community development or expansion would be required to support conversion plants in remote areas. (ELR ORDER # 60066)

Positron-Electron Storage Ring Project, Stanford — 01/26

California
County: San Mateo
Proposed is the construction of the positron-electron colliding beam storage ring facilities at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center at Stanford University. The PEP storage ring will be about 700 meters in diameter buried at depths of 20 to 100 feet and located at the eastern extremity of the SLAC site. Positron and electron beams will collide in the storage ring to provide higher energies and hence higher particle velocities than have been heretofore achieved. Normal operation of the storage ring facility is not expected to produce significant adverse environmental effects different from operation of the existing facilities and the addition of one water cooling tower.
(ELR ORDER # 60111)

Intense Neutron Source Facility, Los Alamos — 01/22

New Mexico
County: Sandoval
Proposed is the construction and operation of a deuterium-tritium gas target intense neutron source at the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory in Los Alamos, New Mexico. The purpose of the proposed facility is to provide an experimental neutron iradiation facility providing a neutronic environment similar to that anticipated in a fusion power reactor. The proposed project consists of the design ad construction of the experimental facility and building, the connecting corridor, and support facilities necessary to the

project. Adverse effects include liquid waste discharges which consist primarily of cooling tower blowdown during operations, and construction disruption.
(ELR ORDER # 60097)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Contact: Mr. Sheldon Meyers
Director, Office of Federal Activities
Room 3630 Waterside Mall
Washington D.C. 20460
(202) 755-0940

Draft — Date

Emission Standard for Vinyl Chloride — 01/05

On December 24, 1975, the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency proposed a national emission standard for vinyl chloride under Section 112 of the Clean Air Act, as amended. The proposed standard would apply to all existing and newly constructed plants in the United States which produces ethylene dichloride by the reaction of oxygen and hydrogen chloride with ethylene, vinyl chloride, and/or polyvinyl chloride. This statement contains an analysis of the proposed standard and alternative regulatory strategies.
(ELR ORDER # 60017)

Evaporative Emission Regs.- '78 & Later Vehicles — 01/29

The EPA is proposing to significantly reduce the evaporation of gasoline from the carburetors, gas tanks and other parts of new cars and light duty trucks by revision the current evaporative emission regulations. The proposed new evaporative standards would reduce daily evaporative emissions from 1978 cars and lighty duty trucks by 65% and from 1979 and later model vehicles by 90%. The proposed standards would thus reduce total oxidant forming hydrocarbons by an average of 10% in the year 1985. No adverse environmental impacts are expected.
(ELR ORDER # 60134)

Greater Globe-Miami, Arizona Wastewater Treatment — 01/08

Arizona
County: Gila
This statement encompasses the description and evaluation of eight viable wastewater treatment facilities plans to provide modern, comprehensive wastewater treatment and disposal for the Globe-Miami area, Gila County, Arizona. Major direct adverse effects are related to soil disturbances and the discharge of treated wastewater in all alternatives.
(ELR ORDER # 60037)

Wastewater Collection & Treatment, Scarborough — 01/07

Maine

County: Cumberland
The proposed project calls for construction of a 3 MGD secondary treatment facility, pumping stations, interceptor sewers, and an ocean outfall in Scarborough, Maine. The project will service the entire portion of the town of Scarborough east of the Maine turnpike. The plans call for a 3-phase construction program to be completed by December, 1982.
(ELR ORDER # 60029)

Winnipesaukee River Basin, Wastewater Facilities 01/07

New Hampshire
County: several
Proposed is the construction of a regional sewage treatment plant and a series of interceptor sewers to serve the Winnipesaukee River Basin. The proposed sewage treatment plant is to be located south of Franklin, New Hampshire, and is designed to handle 11.5 million gallons per day. The effluent from the Franklin plant will be discharged to the Merrimack River and the sludge will be disposed of by land-fill or land spreading. Long term adverse impacts are related to land use and air and water quality.
(ELR ORDER # 60030)

Oklahoma City Wastewater Facilities 01/29

Oklahoma
County: Oklahoma
This project involves the application by Oklahoma City for Federal funds to aid in upgrading and expanding the city's wastewater treatment capabilities. Proposed is the phased construction of a new wastewater treatment plant on the north bank of the North Canadian River, north of N.E. 122nd Street and Anderson Road on a 290 acre tract owned by the city. Adverse effects include increased noise levels, possible occasional odors emanating from the facility, and the removal of some land from agricultural uses.
(ELR ORDER # 60130)

Final Date

N. Fremont Co. Wastewater Facilities 01/19

Idaho
County: Fremont
Proposed is the awarding of grant funds to Fremont County, Idaho, for the design and construction of sewerage facilities serving the Island Park area. Provision of collection, interceptor, and treatment facilities would have the effect of accelerating recreational demand, with associated secondary effects such as increased noise, traffic, and recreational pressure.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI
state agencies and groups
(ELR ORDER # 60071)

Chambers Creek Sewerage System 01/05

Washington
County: Pierce
The action considered is the awarding of grant funds to Pierce County for the construction of a sanitary sewer system to service the Clover Creek Basin Sewerage General Plan. Stage II will include additional communities. The construction of the main interseptor line down the Chambers Creek Canyon could constitute a major and potentially detrimental impact on the stability of that watercourse. There is also a potential for lowering the groundwater table due to the elimination of septic tank effluent as a source of recharge.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA COE DOI HUD DOT
state and local agencies
and interested individuals
(ELR ORDER # 60015)

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Contact: Dr. Jack M. Heinemann, Acting Asst. Director
for Environmental Quality
441 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20426
(202) 275-4791

Final — Date

Trunkline Gas Co. Curtailment — 01/12

The action consists of FPC's analysis of three permanent curtailment plans for the Trunkline Gas Company. Environmental impacts resulting from curtailment are the increased use of coal and oil to replace the curtailed natural gas and the associated cost increases, and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. Rate structure and deregulation are not included as alternatives to curtailment.
COMMENTS MADE BY:
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60045)

Columbia Gas Transmission Corp., Curtailment — 01/26

The action consists of FPC's analysis of two permanent curtailment plans for the Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation. Environmental impacts resulting from the action are the increased use of coal and oil to replace the curtailed gas, the associated cost increases, and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. Alternatives include unregulated curtailment and new sources of gas supplies. Reference is made to the fact that decontrol and rate structure are not included as alternatives to curtailment.
COMMENTS MADE BY: ABP FEA NRC DOI
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60120)

Consolidated Gas Co., Curtailment — 01/27

The action consists of FPC's analysis of two permenant curtailment plans for the Consolidated Gas Company systems. Environmental impacts resulting from curtailment are the increased use of coal and oil to replace the curtailed natural gas and the associated cost increases and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. Alternatives include unregulated curtailment and new sources of gas supply. Rate structure and deregulation are not included as alternatives to curtailment.
COMMENTS MADE BY: NRC
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60121)

Gerald Gentleman Station, Project #1835 01/26

Nebraska
County: Lincoln
The statement concerns approval of a license amendment application of the Nebraska Public Power District to revise the project boundary and to permit the use of 720 acres of project lands and waters in conjunction with operation of the Gerald Gentleman Station. The coal fired station would produce a total of 1,300 MW when operated at maximum capacity. Construction would include 13,700 feet of canals, 7,750 feet of dikes, 11,500 feet of reservoir intake channels, a mixing basin, reservoir pumping facilities, and associated water control structures, some of which is already constructed. Adverse impacts include increased air pollution and raised water temperatures.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA COE EPA NRC
state agencies and
private groups
(ELR ORDER # 60109)

Pascogas, Distrigas, LNG Permit (Supplement) 01/05

New York
This statement is a final supplement to a draft supplement EIS filed with CEQ 16 July 1975. It consists of an addition of data analyzing the vapor dispersion from a massive instantaneous spill of LNG on water.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA NRC ERDA
(ELR ORDER # 60018)

Pascogas, Algonquin Gas, LNG Permits (Supplement) 01/22

Rhode Island
The statement is a supplement to a final eis filed with CEQ 8 August 1974 and contains new data on vapor cloud travel in the event of a large LNG spill on water.
COMMENTS MADE BY: NRC ERDA DOI
(ELR ORDER # 60090)

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Contact: Mr. Andrew E. Kauders
Executive Director of Environmental Affairs
General Services Administration
18th and F Streets, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20405
(202) 343-4161

Draft — Date

Federal Building and Courthouse, Panama City — 01/09

Florida
County: Bay
The proposed action entails the construction of a facility by a private developer to be known as the Federal Building and Courthouse in Panama City, Bay County, Florida, to be leased by General Services Administration. All of the sites under consideration in the downtown area are located in a flood hazard area. A means of floodproofing the proposed building has been formulated.
(ELR ORDER # 60038)

Otisville Federal Correctional Institution — 01/30

New York
County: Orange
The proposed action consists of construction of a new Federal Correctional Institution in Otisville, New York by the General Services Administration for the Federal Bureau of Prisons. The complex will contain a gross area of approximately 250,000 square feet of profile one and two story buildings, and will house approximately 500 adult Federal law offenders. Adverse effects include loss of some natural land cover and minor disruptions to wildlife.
(ELR ORDER # 60142)

Virginia Heating Plant Improvements, Arlington — 01/26

Virginia
County: Arlington
The project involves the installation of two electrostatic precipitators and upgrading (by modification or addition) of the condensate treatment system for the Virginia Heating Plant to meet the requirements of both the existing and future proposed boiler configurations. In addition minor repairs and improvements will be made at the plant. Primary fuel will continue to be low sulphur bituminous coal for the existing four coal fired boilers. Adverse impacts include the temporary increase in noise, dust and traffic congestion, the interruption of steam generation, the industrial appearance of the precipitators, the land committed for installation, and intermittent noise.
(ELR ORDER # 60107)

Final Date

Washington Technical Institute and International Center 01/30

District of Co
The statement concerns the development of a permanent campus for the Washington Technical Institute and the development of an International Center on the former Bureau of Standards site located at Connecticut Avenue and Van Ness Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. Increased traffic, air pollution, and storm water runoff, and construction disruption will result from the project.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT FPA DOI
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60147)

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

Contact: Mr. Richard H. Broun
Director, Office of Environmental Quality
Room 7258
451 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20410
(202) 755-6308

Final — Date

Los Angeles Dam and Resevoir Project — 01/11

California
County: Los Angeles
The project consists of the construction of a dam and reservoir to restore the pre-earthquake dam capacity, including flood protection, of the Van Norman dams and reservoirs. Adverse effects include: vegetation removal, dust blanketing of vegetation, general disturbance and some destruction of wildlife, disturbance of "man-made" freshwater marsh and the influx of noxious rodents.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI ARP DOT FEA USDA FPC HUD COE EPA HEW state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60043)

Sunblest Subdivision, Fishers, Indiana — 01/27

Indiana
County: Hamilton
The project involves an application for mortgage insurance under Section 203b of the National Housing Act for the planned unit development of 1268 acres in Fishers, Indiana. The major adverse environmental impact is the loss of over 1000 acres of farmland.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA ARP DOC HEW DOI DOT GSA USDA state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60125)

Section 104(h)

Draft — Date

Springdale Water and Sewerage Improvements — 01/26

Arkansas
County: Washington Benton
The project proposed is the construction of a new 36 inch diameter water transmission main from the storage tanks in the northeast part of Springdale, Arkansas to the central part of the city. The project also includes the construction of sanitary sewage collection lines to serve an area in the northeastern part of the city. Construction dis-

ruption will result.
(ELR ORDER # 60116)

Center City Project, National City, California 01/23

California
The project include the construction of high and low rise senior citizen housing facilities, construction of low to moderate income, multi-family apartment housing, extensive improvements to the Paradise Creek Channel, realignment of 12th Street, spot clearance, improvements to homes, construction of public parking facilities, erection of an office building, and historic perservation. The project will result in the change to higher density of the area, an increase in community population by 575-860 individuals, and a reduction of owner occupied housing.
(ELR ORDER # 60102)

Buenaventura Drive, Redding 01/30

California
County: Shasta
This project consists of the acquisition of property, relocation of residents and businesses and the construction of an arterial street in Redding between Eureka Way and Railroad Avenue. Other supportive projects in the area include park expansion and development, housing rehabilitation, relocation assistance, neighborhood planning, neighborhood improvements and storm drainage construction. Adverse effects include removal of vegetation and disruption of wildlife. Approximately 100 residents and 2 businesses will be relocated.
(ELR ORDER # 60137)

Final Date

Pulaski Pike Area Water System, Huntsville 01/30

Alabama
County: Madison
The statement concerns a water system for the city of Huntsville and rural areas of Monroe County to provide a water supply more dependable than wells currently used. Construction of the project involves trenching for water distribution lanes from the existing main in Huntsville to outlying areas. Increased population density along the route is expected.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA TVA DOT
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60138)

Los Angeles Harbor Industrial Center Redevelopment 01/12

California
County: Los Angeles
The statement concerns a redevelopment project for the 232 acre Los Angeles Harbor Industrial Center. The plan includes property acquisition, relocation assistance, demolition of buildings, modification of oil field operation facilities, and improvement of the streets network. Relocation and construction disruption will result.
COMMENTS MADE BY:
local agencies and groups
(ELR ORDER # 60051)

Boston Demolition Plan 01/12

Massachusetts
The action, under the Community Development Block Grant Program is to demolish 900 buildings over two years. The purpose of the program is to remove fire and health hazards from seven areas of Boston: Roxbury, Dorchester, Jamaica Plain, South Boston, Franklin Field, Upham's Corner, and Mattapan. Adverse impacts of the project include traffic congestion at demolition sites, an increase in large-particle dust at demolition sites and an increase in ambient noise levels.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI HEW
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60044)

Cleveland's Demolition Program 01/02

Ohio
The statement concerns an application for a Community Development Block Grant by the City of Cleveland for demolition of condemned structures. Because these structures are vacant, there will be no displacements of families. Noise pollution and construction disruption will result.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA USA GSA EPA DOI
(ELR ORDER # 60005)

Mahon School Area Rehabilitation, Lubbock 01/23

Texas
The statement concerns the proposed rehabilitation of a 116.73 acre area around the Mahon School in Lubbock, Texas. The project consists of providing an improved housing supply of 675 units. Of this, 419 units will come from rehabilitation, 155 from redevelopment of 10.32 acres which are currently occupied by 45 structures, and 101 units will be constructed on vacant land. Twenty-five households will be displaced, and construction disruption will result.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HEW USDA DOI DOC
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60100)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Contact: Mr. Bruce Blanchard
Director, Environmental Project Review
Room 7260
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-3891

Bureau of Land Management

Draft — Date

Proposed Foothills Project, Denver County — 01/09

Colorado
County: Denver
Proposed is the construction, operation and maintenance of water-diversion facilities on federally managed lands on the South Platte River 25 miles southwest of Denver, Colorado as a part of the proposed Foothills Project. The project would also include a 125 million gallon per day water treatment plant and distribution conduit system extending into the Denver metropolitan area. Project implementation would result in the consumption of readily available water supplies by 1988 rather than 1995 without the project.
(ELR ORDER # 60039)

Proposed Middle Fork Reservoir, Powder River — 01/23

Wyoming
County: Johnson
Proposed is the granting of a right-of-way for use of 141 acres of national resource land for a 50,000 acre-feet reservoir near Kaycee, Wyoming, for use by agriculture for irrigation and industry for undisclosed purposes. The project would result in the change of the stream regime and sediment load, increased evaporation, and destruction of vegetation. Soils would be taken from production, livestock would be adversely affected, cultural values may be destroyed, and infrastructural facilities would be impacted.
(ELR ORDER # 60099)

Bureau of Outdoor Recreation

Draft — Date

Penobscot Wild and Scenic River — 01/21

Maine
County: Penobscot Piscataquis Somerset
The statement concerns the recommendation that 295 miles of the East and West Branches of the Penobscot River be included in the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System.

Land proteciton would be primarily accomplished through cooperative efforts of the State of Maine and private land owners in the area. No significant adverse impacts are expected.
(ELR ORDER # 60085)

Bonneville Power Administration

Draft — Date

Bonneville Dam Integrating Transmission (S, FY 77) — 01/26

Washington
County: Clark Skamania
Proposed is the construction of transmission facilities to integrate the electricity from the second power house at Bonneville Dam into BPA's grid system. Both alternatives involve the construction of approximately two miles of new 230 kV line and the addition of 21 miles of conductor to the vacant side of existing BPA double-circuit towers. Depending upon the final route location chosen, between 6 and 24 acres of existing access road improvement would be needed, and up to 1.35 miles of new access road would have to be constructed.
(ELR ORDER # 60110)

Final — Date

Southwestern Power Admin., Construction & Maintenance — 01/26

Proposed is the maintenance and construction of additions to Southwestern Power Administration's electric transmission system including transmission lines, substations, and related structures in Oklahoma, Arkansas, Missouri, and parts of Texas, Kansas and Louisiana. The land occupied by structures will be unavailable for other uses during the life of the facility, and homes and other buildings will be displaced. The project will also be detrimental to wildlife habitat.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA EPA FPC
(ELR ORDER # 60106)

Bureau of Reclamation

Final — Date

Coal Gasification Project, Navajo Mine — 01/14

New Mexico
County: San Juan
The Western Gasification Company proposes to construct and operate 4 gasification plants and the necessary support facities in northwestern New Mexico on the Navajo Indian Reservation 27 miles southwest of Farmington. On an annual average the first plant would have an initial output of 250

million cu.ft. per day (MMCFD) of substitute natural gas (SNG) and would become operational the winter of 1979-1980. The total production of 1000MMCFD would be achieved when all 4 plants become operational in 1985. Adverse impacts include emissions of sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and particulate degradation of water quality, use of 28,000 acres of land, and the displacement of 9 families.
COMMENTS MADE BY: APP ARC USDA DSA HEW HUD DOI DOT EPA FPA FPC
(ELR ORDER # 60057)

Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife

Final — Date

Use of Steel Shot for Waterfowl Hunting — 01/08

The statement refers to a proposal that recommends that in the hunting of ducks, geese, swans, and coots, shot shells loaded with steel or other approved pellets be required in the United States beginning in the years 1976 through 1978 in different flyways. The net environmental impact would be the alleviation and eventual elimination of lead poisoning from lead shotgun pellets among aquatic birds. Adverse effects relate to increased costs to waterfowl hunters.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA
state and local agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 60034)

National Park Service

Final — Date

F.D. Roosevelt National Historic Site — 01/30

New York
Proposed is the implementation of a master plan for the management of the Franklin D. Roosevelt National Historic Site in Hyde Park, New York. The Roosevelt Site will be managed in conjunction with the Vanderbilt Mansion National Historic Site, also in Hyde Park. Administrative and management functions of the site would be relocated; tax revenue to the town would be decreased by the acquisition of the Morgan estate and a portion of the Kessler property.

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP DOI USDA DOT GSA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60141)

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Contact: Mr. Richard Chais
Supervisory Attorney Advisor for
the Environmental Staff
Room 2370
12th St. and Constitution Ave., NW
(202) 343-2086

Draft	Date
Commercial Zones and Terminal Areas	01/27

Proposed is the institution of a rulemaking proceeding for the purpose of proposing an expansion of the population-mileage formula used to determine the commercial zones and terminal areas of motor carriers and freight forwarders. Approval of the proposed formula expansion may result in a decrease in the amount of interlining and mileage which is currently involved in performing service to shippers located in outlying metropolitan areas. Current patterns of metropolitan land use may be affected.
(ELR ORDER # 60122)

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contact: Mr. Benard Rersche, Director of Division
of Reactor Licensing
P-722, NRC
Washington, D.C. 20555
(301) 492-7373

Draft | Date

Sterling Power Project Unit 1, Cayuga County | 01/07

New York
County: Cayuga
Proposed is the construction of the Sterling Power Project Unit 1 located in Cayuga County, New York. The plant will employ a pressurized-water reactor to produce a warranted output of 3425 MWt. A steam turbine generator will use this heat to provide 1150 MWe (net) of electrial power capacity. The exhaust steam, will be cooled by a once-through flow of water obtained from and discharged to Lake Ontario. Project implementation will require a total of about 2600 acres, and the displacement of 80 permanent and 70 summer residences.
(ELR ORDER # 60026)

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Contact: Dr. Peter Krenkel
Director of Environmental Planning
720 Edney Building
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401
(615) 755-2002

Final — Date

Raccoon Mountain Pumped-Storage Plant — 01/19

Tennessee
County: Marion
Proposed is the construction of a 4 unit pumped-storage hydroelectric power project, totalling 1530 MWt. Approximately 1,000 acres of timber will be removed for project-related construction, resulting in adverse impacts to wildlife populations.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP EPA FEA FPC USDA USA HEW HUD DOI DOT state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60077)

Morton Ranch Uranium Mining — 01/20

Wyoming
County: Converse
The proposed action is the mining of the uranium deposits at Morton Ranch to provide nuclear fuel for TVA's nuclear electricity generating plants. The environmental impacts of the action will consist of land disturbance, fugitive dust generation, discharge of combustion gases, land erosion, some socioeconomic impacts, depression of groundwater levels, an increase in surface water runoff due to dewatering operations, and increased levels of dissolved minerals in rainwater runoff until overburden is reclaimed.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HUD DOI ERDA ICC NRC state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60083)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact: Mr. Martin Convisser, Director
Office of Environmental Affairs
U.S. Department of Transportation
400 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-4357

Federal Aviation Administration

Draft — Date

Everglades Jetport Replacement — 01/19

Florida
County: Dade
Proposed is the construction of a replacement airport for the Everglades Jetport near Miami, according to the conditions of the "Everglades Jetport Pact of 1970" which provides for the transfer of the Everglades Jetport property by Dade County to the Department of Interior in exchange for replacement land elsewhere. The project includes the acquisition of 15,028 acres of land and the construction of runway, taxiway, apron, and control tower facilities. Adverse impacts include the alteration of land surface, the conversion of 70 acres from vegetation to open water, and increased traffic in the area.
(ELR ORDER # 60078)

Guam International Airport — 01/19

Guam
The proposed action involves the transfer of 67.85 acres of U.S. Navy land to the Government of Guam for the development and expansion of the terminal facilities for the the Guam Internation Air Terminal (GIAT) at Agana Naval Air Station. The future development of GIAT will require clearing approximately 100 acres of vegetation, removal of a portion of bird habitat, and alteration of the existing land use pattern around the airport.
(ELR ORDER # 60079)

Mount Pleasant Municipal Airport — 01/27

Michigan
County: Isabella
The project consists of improvements to the Mount Pleasant Municipal Airport to increase safety and efficient use of the airport. The project includes the extension of the runway 900 feet, the widening of two access taxiways to 40 feet, the construction of a 200 X 170 foot apron addition, the installation of lighting, the clearing of brush and trees, and the relocation of lighting equipment and controls to a new administration building. The project will result in increased noise and air pollution.
(ELR ORDER # 60123)

Loop 390, U.S. 80 to U.S. 59, Marshall 01/21

Texas
County: Harris
The proposed action is the construction of grading, structures, base and surfacing on Loop 390 around Marshall, Texas. The project begins at U.S. 80 west of Marshall, crosses U.S. 59 north of Marshall, and terminates at I-20 southeast of Marshall. The 11.8 mile project is on new location. Adverse effects of the project are displacements of as many as 5 businesses and 64 families, and the commitment of some natural resources.
(ELR ORDER # 60086)

Final Date

Air Route Surveillance Radar, Eugene 01/22

Oregon
County: Lane
The project consists of the construction of an Air Route Surveillance Radar Facility as part of a 21-facility system that would control flight traffic between airport terminals. The proposed site is one acre of land on Table Top Mountain, located in the southwest portion of the Willamette Valley, 17 miles northwest of Eugene, Oregon. There will be possible minor adverse environmental effects with respect to surface and subsurface waters, ecology, fossil fuel emissions, and electromagnetic radiation.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60091)

Federal Highway Administration

Draft Date

El Toro Road, Orange County 01/26

California
County: Orange
Proposed is a 2.75 mile corridor which would realign existing El Toro Road (FAS 1279(9), Country Road 18) between 2.6 miles northerly of Trabuco Road and Live Oak Canyon Road, Orange County, California. The ultimate project will consist of 36 feet of pavement, 8-foot-wide shoulder, a 10-foot-wide median and a 30-foot-wide parkway strip. Adverse effects include removal of some eucalyptus trees and high cut slopes, and the crossing of between two and six archeological sites. Most of the alignments would remove two houses and one commercial building.
(ELR ORDER # 60117)

Draft Date

Lake-Will Freeway, Richmond-Waukegan Freeway, Ill. 01/08

Illinois
County: Cook Lake
The proposed project is a new freeway facility designated as FAP 432 and FAP 420 in Cook and Lake Counties, Illinois. FAP 432 would be generally a north-south facility connecting to the proposed FAP 20 freeway south of the Village of Grayslake. The portion of the project designated FAP 420 would extend from the interchange with FAP 432 near Allegheny Road to the east connecting with Route 120. Adverse effects include the acquisition of 702 acres of farmland and the displacement of 8 families.
(ELR ORDER # 60036)

S.R. 1 Bridge Over the Penn Central RR, Dearborn Co. 01/02

Indiana
County: Dearborn
The proposed project would be a reconstruction of the S.R. 1 bridge and approaches over the Penn Central Railroad in Dearborn County. The project would consist of a multi-span structure located approximately 150 feet west of the existing Penn Central bridge. Adverse effects include the destruction of some wildlife habitat and the displacement of persons along the new alignment.
(ELR ORDER # 60003)

Johnson-Beardsley Realignment, Elkhart County 01/07

Indiana
County: Elkhart
This project proposes improvements to and/or relocations of Crawford Street, Beardsley Avenue, Quincy Street, Cone Street, Johnson Street, Erwin Street, Howard Street and Dearborn STreet, and intersection improvements at Beardsley Avenue and Greenleaf Boulevard in Elkhart County. Adverse effects include increases in vehicle noise and air pollution, and the displacement of one residence and one business. A 4(f) statement is included concerning High Drive Park.
(ELR ORDER # 60025)

Grove Street: Lewis to Elm, Sedgwick County 01/08

Kansas
County: Sedgwick
Proposed is the construction of a divided four-lane arterial in Wichita, Sedgwick County, Kansas. The project consists of an improvement of Grove Street from Lewis Street, north to Elm Street, a distance of

approximately 1.1 miles. Adverse effects include the acquisition of from 70 to 135 dwelling units and from 10 to 14 businesses, depending on the alternate chosen. Project implementation would also result in increased noise levels, and the removal of a number of mature trees.
(ELR ORDER # 60033)

Route 185, Franklin and Washington Counties 01/22

Missouri
County: Franklin Washington
Proposed is the acquisition of 5.2 miles of limited access right-of-way from near the east city limits of Sullivan in Franklin County to north of Route H in Washington County, Missouri, for the construction of Route 185. The project will consist of constructing two 12' lanes of pavement with 10' stabilized shoulders, plus a 2 lane bridge over the Meramec River. Adverse effects include the removal of 106 acres from its present use, the destruction of 88 acres of woods, and the displacement of 8 people. A 4(f) statement is included concerning Meramec State Park.
(ELR ORDER # 60094)

L.R. 1137-B03, Meadville to Titusville 01/21

Pennsylvania
County: Crawford
Proposed is the reconstruction of L.R. 1137-B03, a two lane rural highway that begins in the Meadville area and runs approximately 25 miles to the east to a connection in the western end of Titusville. Alternates for the project include reconstruction with minor improvements to existing highways, complete relocation for the entire project, and a do-nothing alternate. The number of families and businesses displaced and acres committed to highway use depends upon the alternate chosen. The project would result in the increase of stream velocities, the interruption of wildlife foraging, water pollution, increased air pollution, and higher noise levels.
(ELR ORDER # 60087)

Bobby Jones Expressway: US 25 Savannah River 01/09

South Carolina
County: Aiken
Proposed is the Bobby Jones Expressway extension from a Savannah River Crossing through the North Augusta area to U.S. 25 near I-20. The six-mile extension is located in Aiken County, South Carolina. Depending upon alternative location, displacements of residences and businesses could range from seven to fifty in number. The community of Belvedere could be separated from North Augusta unless appropriate alternatives are selected.
(ELR ORDER # 60040)

South Knoxville Boulevard, U.S. 441 to S.R. 158 01/26

Tennessee
County: Knox
Proposed is the construction of a multilane freeway from Chapman Highway (U.S. Route 441) near the south city limits of Knoxville that would extend through South Knoxville, cross the Tennessee River and connect into the CBD Loop. Project length is approximately five miles. Adverse effects include the commitment of 35 to 45 acres of undeveloped land for right-of-way, and increased concentrations of automobile-produced air pollutants. The proposed facility will displace between 171 and 191 households and between 15 and 26 businesses depending on alternative location selection.
(ELR ORDER # 60118)

State Route 36, Rock Creek to Johnson City 01/28

Tennessee
County: Unicoi Carter Washington
The proposed project is an improvement of State Route 36 between Rock Creek north of Erwin to south of Johnson City, Tennessee. This project extends approximately 12.0 miles through three counties, and is proposed to provide four traffic-carrying lanes throughout the entire length of the project. Adverse effects include some inevitable soil erosion and siltation of streams as a result of construction. A total of 161 families and one business will be displaced.
(ELR ORDER # 60127)

Loop 463, Victoria County 01/26

Texas
County: Victoria
Proposed is the development of a north loop around the City of Victoria, Texas. The project begins at the junction of US Highways 59 and 77 southwest of Victoria, then extends north, northeasterly, east and southeasterly terminating at the junction of the Loop 175-Burroughsville Road intersection, east of Victoria. Project length is 14.4 miles, all on new location. Adverse effects include the acquisition of 610 acres of additional right-of-way, and the displacement of 15 families and 4 businesses.
(ELR ORDER # 60114)

Belknap Street Viaduct, City of Superior 01/02

Wisconsin
County: Douglas
The proposed project involves replacement of the Belknap Street Viaduct which carries local and USH 2

traffic in the City of Superior, Douglas County, Wisconsin. The new structure would be a 4-lane bridge and would be from 1500 to 1900 feet long, depending upon its new location. Adverse effects include right of way requirements; disruption of families, business, and wildlife in the center drainage area; and damages to haul roads not designed for heavy loads.
(ELR ORDER # 60004)

East Locust Street, U.S.141 to Oakland Avenue 01/12

Wisconsin
County: Milwaukee
The proposed project consists of reconstruction of 0.6 miles of local street located in the City of Milwaukee, Milwaukee County, Wisconsin. The project is part of a transportation corridor connecting the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee with U.S.141 (North-South Freeway). The segment of East Locust Street presently under consideration extends from North Buffum Street to the Milwaukee River. Adverse effects include acquisition of land for right-of-way, relocation of 49 families and 13 businesses, and increases in noise and air pollution.
(ELR ORDER # 60049)

S.T.H. 35, River Falls-Hudson Road 01/29

Wisconsin
County: Pierce St. Croix
Proposed are two separate, but contiguous STH 35 projects, the River Falls Bypass, or Southern Section, and STH 65 to I-94 Road, or Northern Section. The Bypass or Southern Section consists of the relocation of STH 35 beginning 1.25 miles south of its intersection with STH 29, and proceeding northerly around the east side of the city of River Falls, rejoining existing STH 35 approximately 0.5 mile north of STH 65. The Northern Section consits of STH 35 being located along or adjacent to existing STH 35 from the north end of the Bypass to I-94. Adverse effects include displacement of approximately 7 dwellings and traversal through farmland, woodlands, and stream bottoms.
(ELR ORDER # 60132)

Final Date

Florence Avenue, Bloomfield Ave. to Telegraph Rd. 01/30

California
County: Los Angeles
Proposed is the construction of a 1.30 mile segment of Florence Avenue from Bloomfield Avenue to Telegraph Road on new alignment. The project will include full width construction to major highway standards including a raised median with turning lanes, sidewalks, and drainage

facilities. Approximately 8.7 acres will be acquired for the project displacing eight residential structures and five residential garages. Thirty-five trees will be removed and there will be an increase in vehicular noise experienced by occupants nearby.
COMMENTS MADE BY:
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60139)

Vista Avenue-Ridenbaugh Canal-U.S. 30 Connection 01/26

Idaho
County: Ada
The proposed project consists of improving the existing Vista Avenue-Federal Way (U.S. 30) connection in Boise City, Idaho, including the improvement of three intersections, realignment of through routes, and widening rights-of-way to provide for the projected increase in through traffic flow. Adverse impacts include the displacement of families and businesses, construction disruption and the gradual conversion of residential land to commercial use.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC HUD EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60105)

Moscow Couplet, U.S. 95 01/26

Idaho
County: Latah
The proposed highway project is the improvement of congested traffic conditions on U.S. 95 through the city of Moscow in Latah County, Idaho, by the use of one-way couplet system. Total length of the project would be approximately 1 mile, with provision for curbs and gutters and on-street parking where feasible. Adverse impacts vary by alternative.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOC COE HUD
state agencies and
interested individuals
(ELR ORDER # 60119)

SR 63, US 36 to US 136 01/05

Indiana
County: Vermillion Warren
The statement proposes the improvement of 20.2 miles of Indiana SR 63 from US 36 to US 136, including the addition of a median, 2 new lanes, required overpasses and interchanges, and access control. Adverse effects of the project are the displacement of 51 people and 2 businesses-increased noise, air, and water pollution; and the location of three architecturally important homes and two archeological sites within or near the right-of-way.

A 4(f) statement is included concerning these historically significant areas.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA EPA HEW
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60014)

Tenth Street Improvements, Bartholomew Co. 01/06

Indiana
County: Bartholomew
The statement refers to the proposed improvement to Tenth Street in Columbus from Central Avenue east to U.S. 31, including extensions of Eighth Street and Taylor Road. The total project length is approximately 1.4 miles.Adverse effect are the disruption of 23 acres of land and the displacement of 17 families.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA EPA HEW HUD
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60023)

US 77, Lancaster Co., Neb. 01/06

Nebraska
County: Lancaster
The proposed routes presented in this statement are a part of a 20-year Expressway plan adopted in 1969 by the Nebraska Department of Roads. Presented in this statement are several alternate plans for the expressway band in Lancaster County which measures approximately 22 miles by 14 miles and has an area of 140 square miles. Adverse effects include relocation of wildlife, taking of dwellings, and businesses for construction purposes, and an increase in exhaust emissions and highway associated noises. A 4(f) statement in included for Wilderness Park and Seacrest Park.
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE USDA DOI EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60024)

SR 7, Bellaire, Ohio 01/12

Ohio
County: Belmont
The proposed action consists of construction of a 4-lane limited access freeway in Bellaire, Ohio on the Eastern Route. The project is 3.4 miles in length. Adverse effects include the taking of a small portion of the 4.5 acre Bellaire City Park, and the displacement of 498 families, 30 businesses, 6 churches, 3 clubs, a firestation and a nursing home.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DPA HUD COE
(ELR ORDER # 60046)

Head Road - Airport Road Section 01/12

Oregon
County: Jackson
The proposed project is a 1.2 mile extension of Biddle Road from the intersection of Biddle Road with Airport Road to the intersection of Head Road with Hamrick Road. The purpose of the project is to improve access to the Medford-Jackson Airport. Adverse effects resulting from project implementation include increased pressure for for land use conversion of valuable agricultural lands, and the reduction of natural habitat now available to birds and small mammals by approximately 11 acres.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE DOC HUD DOI DOT EPA state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60048)

Southeastern Beltway Section A, Columbia 01/19

South Carolina
County: Richland Lexington
This is a supplement to the EIS prepared and submitted by CPO in 1971 on the Southeastern Beltway in Columbia, South Carolina. Proposed is the construction of Section A of the Southeastern Beltway which is the segment extending from Interstate 26 in Lexington County to S.C. 48 (Bluff Road) in Richland County. The supplement considers effects on the Congaree River flood plain, potential impact and salvage of archeological sites, impact on air quality, and the relationship of the proposed North-South Freeway and the Southeastern Beltway.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA AHP DOI DOC HUD state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60072)

Interstate Route 595, Virginia 01/19

Virginia
County: Arlington
Proposed is the upgrading of Primary Route 1 (Jefferson Davis Highway), to a six to eight-lane divided facility to meet Interstate standards. The project will begin at the Airport Connection and extend 0.772 mile north to 12th Street where it will tie in with I-95. Adverse effects of the action are increased ambient noise levels, displacement of 15 businesses, loss of two "green-space" areas, and temporary loss of parking in the project area.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HUD HEW COE DOC state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60076)

Urban Mass Transportation Administration

Final Date

Bicentennial Transportation Program 01/13

District of Co
The statement concerns federal assistance for a two part Bicentennial Transportation Program. The program consists of a fringe parking lot/bus shuttle from RFK Stadium, North Parking Lot of the Pentagon, and Ft. Myer South Post to the Mall; and special radial bus service along 17 existing bus corridors from outlying areas to downtown. The program will be in effect from March to October 1976. Short term pollution in fringe parking areas will result, and construction of the 4,000 car parking lot at Ft. Myer South Post will cause temporary negative effects.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USCG NCPC DOT USDA DOI DOD
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60053)

5th and 6th Avenues Transit Mall, Portland 01/29

Oregon
This project entails the construction of a transit mall on Fifth and Sixth Avenues from Burnside Street to Madison Street in downtown Portland to provide for predominantly exclusive use of the streets by Tri-Met buses. Permanent adverse impacts include increased nitrogen oxide and noise levels on Fifth and Sixth Avenues, increased auto traffic on streets parallel to the mall, and modified access to many properties. Temporary construction disruption will also occur.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC EPA HUD DOI
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60129)

U.S.Coast Guard

Final Date

LORAN-C Chain, Moses Lake, Washington (Supplement) 01/20

Washington
County: Grant
The statement is a supplement concerning the Moses Lake project site of the LORAN-C at Moses Lake, Washington. Site activities may increase the sediment load in the Frenchman Hills Wasteway, and normal construction disruption will result.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT USDA AHP EPA DOI COE
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 60081)

Summary of 102 Statements Filed with the CEQ Through

01/31/76 (By Agency)

Agency	Draft 102's for actions on which no final 102's have yet been received	Final 102's on legislation and actions	Total actions on which draft or final statements have been received
Agriculture, Department of	203	612	815
Appalachian Regional Commission	1	0	1
Architect of the Capitol	0	1	1
Atomic Energy Commission	42	144	186
Canal Zone Government	0	1	1
Civil Aeronautice Board	0	1	1
Commerce, Department of	18	43	61
Defense, Department of	8	7	15
Air Force	10	19	29
Army	4	20	24
Army Corps of Engineers	598	881	1479
Navy	11	34	45
Delaware River Basin Commission	2	8	10
Energy Policy Office	0	1	1
Energy Research & Development Admin.	7	3	10
Environmental Protection Agency	29	70	99
Federal Energy Administration	4	1	5
Federal Maritime Commission	3	0	3
Federal Power Commission	91	50	141
General Services Administration	24	92	116
HEW, Department of	4	14	18
HUD, Department of	47	143	190
Interior, Department of	167	322	489
International Boundary and Water Commission -- U.S. and Mexico	1	8	9
Interstate Commerce Commission	10	5	15
Justice, Department of	2	3	5
Labor, Department of	5	2	7
National Aeronautics and Space Adm.	9	22	31
National Capital Planning Comm.	5	8	13
National Science Foundation	1	6	7
New England River Basins Commission	2	0	2
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	9	18	27
Office of Science and Technology	0	1	1
Ohio River Basin Commission	1	0	1
Pacific Northwest River Basins Comm.	3	0	3
Pennsylvania Ave. Devel. Corp.	0	1	1
Souris-Red-Rainy River Basins Comm.	1	0	1
State Department	3	5	8
Tennessee Valley Authority	8	26	34
Transportation, Department of	998	2065	3063
Treasury, Department of	4	7	11
Upper Mississippi River Basin Comm.	1	0	1
U.S. Postal Service	3	0	3
U.S. Water Resources Council	6	9	15
Veterans Administration	2	8	10
TOTALS	2347	4661	7008

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF THE
ADMINISTRATOR

AVAILABILITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY COMMENTS ON ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS AND OTHER ACTIONS IMPACTING THE ENVIRONMENT

Pursuant to the requirements of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed and commented in writing on Federal agency actions impacting the environment contained in the following appendices during the period of January 1, 1976 and January 31, 1976.

Appendix I contains a listing of draft environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The list includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, the classification of the nature of EPA's comments as defined in Appendix II, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix II contains the definitions of the classifications of EPA's comments on the draft environmental impact statements

as set forth in Appendix I.

Appendix III contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix IV contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed but not commented upon by EPA during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, and the source of the EPA review as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix V contains a listing of proposed Federal agency regulations, legislation proposed by Federal agencies, and any other proposed actions reviewed and commented upon in writing pursuant to section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, during the referenced reviewing period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the proposed action, the title of the action, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments,

and the source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix VI contains a listing of the names and addresses of the sources of EPA reviews and comments listed in Appendices I, III, IV, and V.

Copies of the EPA Manual setting forth the policies and procedures for EPA's review of agency actions and EPA comments referenced herein may be obtained by writing the Public Information Reference Unit, (PM-213), Environmental Protection Agency, Room 2922, Waterside Mall SW, Washington, DC 20460, telephone 202/755-2808. Copies of the draft and final environmental impact statements referenced herein are available from the originating Federal department or agency.

Rebecca W. Hanmer

Rebecca W. Hanmer
Acting Director
Office of Federal Activities

Dated: February 18, 1976

APPENDIX I

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH
COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN
JANUARY 1, 1976 AND JANUARY 31, 1976

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
D-AFS-G65011-LA:	NORTH CATAHOULA AND SOUTH WINN UNIT OF KISATCHIE NATIONAL FOREST, GRANT AND WINN PARISHES, LOUISIANA	LO-2	G
D-AFS-J65031-MT:	BIG SWEDE-PIPE PLANNING UNIT, KOOTENAI NATIONAL FOREST, MONTANA	ER-2	I
D-AFS-J65032-MT:	LOWER WEST FORK PLANNING UNIT, BITTERROOT NATIONAL FOREST, RAVALLI COUNTY, MONTANA	LO-2	I
D-AFS-L61054-AK:	TONGASS NATIONAL FOREST, LONG ISLAND UNIT MANAGEMENT PLAN, ALASKA	ER-1	K
D-AFS-L65015-AK:	ALASKA LUMBER AND PULP COMPANY TIMBER SALE, 1976-1981 OPERATING PERIOD, TONGASS NATIONAL FOREST, ALASKA	LO-2	K
D-DOA-A99109-00:	AGRICULTURAL CONSERVATION PROGRAM	LO-2	A
D-REA-G07004-OK:	ANADARKO COMBINED CYCLE UNIT FOR WESTERN FARMERS ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, ANADARKO, OKLAHOMA	LO-1	G
D-SCS-D36013-VA:	BUSH RIVER WATERSHED, PRINCE EDWARD COUNTY, VIRGINIA	LO-2	D
D-SCS-D36015-PA:	NESHAMINY CREEK WATERSHED, BUCKS AND MONTGOMERY COUNTIES, PENNSYLVANIA	LO-2	D
D-SCS-G36037-LA:	BAYOU GROSSE TETE WATERSHED, POINTE COUPEE PARISH, LOUISIANA	LO-1	G
D-SCS-G36039-LA:	CHOCTAW BAYOU WATERSHED, WEST BATON ROUGE, POINTE COUPEE AND IBERVILLE PARISHES, LOUISIANA	LO-2	G
D-SCS-K36015-CA:	CARPINTERIA VALLEY WATERSHED PROJECT, SANTA BARBARA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	ER-2	J

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
D-COE-C36019-NJ:	FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT FOR PINE BROOK, MANALAPAN TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH OF ENGLISHTOWN, MONMOUTH COUNTY, NEW JERSEY	ER-2	C
D-COE-D32005-MD:	CAMBRIDGE HARBOR, CHANNEL AND TURNING BASINS, DORCHESTER COUNTY, MARYLAND	LO-1	D
D-COE-D90000-VA:	HAMPTON ROAD ENERGY COMPANY, PORTSMOUTH REFINERY AND TERMINAL, PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA	3	D
D-COE-E30004-FL:	BEACH EROSION CONTROL AND HURRICANE PROTECTION, PANAMA CITY BEACHES, FLORIDA	LO-2	E
D-COE-E32013-00:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE APALACHICOLA, CHATTAHOOCHEE, AND FLINT RIVERS, ALABAMA, FLORIDA, AND GEORGIA	LO-2	E
D-COE-E32015-SC:	MURRELLS INLET NAVIGATION PROJECT, GEORGETOWN COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA	LO-2	E
D-COE-E34003-00:	DALE HOLLOW LAKE, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, OBEY RIVER, TENNESSEE AND KENTUCKY	LO-2	E
DS-COE-F36031-OH:	CHAGRIN RIVER, EASTLAKE, FLOOD CONTROL AND NAVIGATION, LAKE COUNTY, OHIO	ER-2	F
D-COE-F39002-IN:	CLARK MARITIME CENTRE, JEFFERSONVILLE, CLARK COUNTY, INDIANA	3	F
D-COE-G34017-NM:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ABIQUIU DAM, RIO CHAMA, NEW MEXICO	LO-2	G
D-COE-G36033-OK:	ARCADIA LAKE, DEEP FORK RIVER, OKLAHOMA	3	G
D-COE-G36034-OK:	JOE CREEK LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA	LO-1	G
D-COE-G36036-TX:	CARL L. ESTES LAKE, SABINE RIVER, TEXAS	LO-1	G
D-COE-H07001-KS:	NEARMAN CREEK STEAM-ELECTRIC GENERATING STATION, WYANDOTTE COUNTY, KANSAS	EU-2	H
D-COE-H32001-MO:	RAIL TO BARGE COAL TRANSFER FACILITY, ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI	ER-2	H
D-COE-H36021-MO:	ELSBERRY AGRICULTURAL AREA NO. 8 FLOOD PROTECTION, LINCOLN AND PIKE COUNTIES, MISSOURI	ER-2	H

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-COE-J34001-CO:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, JOHN MARTIN DAM AND RESERVOIR PROJECT, ARKANSAS RIVER, COLORADO	LO-1	I
D-COE-K80003-CA:	REDWOOD SHORES REGIONAL SHOPPING CENTER, REGULATORY PERMIT APPLICATION, REDWOOD CITY, SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	ER-2	J
D-COE-L36028-OR:	CHETCO RIVER JETTY EXTENSION, BROOKINGS, OREGON	LO-1	K
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE			
D-USA-G60002-TX:	LAND ACQUISITION, FORT HOOD, CORYELL COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-1	G
FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION			
LD-FEA-A09039-00:	NATURAL GAS EMERGENCY STANDBY ACT OF 1975	ER-2	A
FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION			
D-FMC-A53039-00:	INVESTIGATION OF THE TRANSPORTATION OF CONTAINERIZED CARGOES, COUNCIL OF NORTH ATLANTIC SHIPPING VS AMERICAN MAIL LINES, DOCKET NO. 73-38	LO-2	A
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION			
D-FPC-A03063-00:	ALASKA NATURAL GAS TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM	3	A
D-FPC-H07002-NB:	GERALD GENTLEMEN POWER STATION, PROJECT NUMBER 1835, LINCOLN COUNTY, NEBRASKA	3	H
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION			
D-GSA-F81002-IL:	FEDERAL BUILDING, US COURTHOUSE AND PARKING FACILITY, EAST ST. LOUIS, ILLINOIS	LO-2	F
D-GSA-G81007-TX:	EXTENSION, REPLACEMENT, AND IMPROVEMENTS, FEDERAL CENTER, FORT WORTH, TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-1	G

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
D-HUD-C85007-PR:	ESTANCIAS DE RIO HONDO DEVELOPMENT, BAYAMON, PUERTO RICO, PROJECT #74-264	ER-2	C
D-HUD-D89017-WV:	CENTRAL CITY URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT, PARKERSBURG, WOOD COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA	ER-2	D
D-HUD-D89018-VA:	DOWNTOWN-WEST REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	ER-2	D
D-HUD-E28006-AL:	DAVISTON NEW SITE, ALABAMA WATER PROJECT, TALLAPOOSA, ALABAMA	LO-1	E
D-HUD-E85008-FL:	LAKES OF ACADIA SUBDIVISION, PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT, DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA	LO-2	E
D-HUD-E85009-FL:	CANNONGATE SUBDIVISION, PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT, PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA	LO-2	E
D-HUD-E85010-FL:	LACHALET SUBDIVISION, PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT, PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA	LO-2	E
D-HUD-H91001-NB:	EAST OMAHA RELOCATION AND LAND DEVELOPMENT, PROJECT C-2 (CDBG), DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEBRASKA	LO-2	H
D-HUD-J85002-CO:	SEVEN LAKES, AURORA, SEVEN HILLS, ARAPAHOE COUNTY, COLORADO	EU-2	I
D-HUD-K61008-CA:	CHARLES WILSON REGIONAL PARK (CDBG), TORRANCE, LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	LO-1	J
D-HUD-K89001-HI:	BERETANIA-SMITH STREETS PARKING FACILITY (CDBG), HONOLULU COUNTY, HAWAII	3	J
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION			
D-NRC-B06000-MA:	MONTAGUE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2, DOCKET NOS. 50-496 AND 50-497, MONTAGUE, FRANKLIN COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS	LO-2	B
D-NRC-E06002-NC:	WILLIAM B. MCGUIRE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2, DUKE POWER COMPANY, LAKE NORMAN, MECKLENBURG COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA	ER-2	E
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
D-CGD-L34004-WA:	WEST COAST/GULF OF ALASKA LORAN-C CHAIN, MOSES LAKE, GRANT COUNTY, WASHINGTON	LO-1	K

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-FAA-G51002-LA:	NEW GENERAL AVIATION AIRPORT, BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA	LO-1	G
D-FAA-L51001-OR:	NORTH BEND MUNICIPAL AIRPORT DEVELOPMENT, NORTH BEND, OREGON	LO-2	K
D-FAA-L51002-WA:	LOPEZ ISLAND AIRPORT IMPROVEMENTS, LOPEZ ISLAND, WASHINGTON	LO-1	K
DS-FHW-A41711-IN:	ST. JOE ROAD FROM STELLHORN TO EVARD ROAD, ALLEN COUNTY, INDIANA	LO-2	F
D-FHW-B40011-MA:	SALEM-PEABODY CONNECTOR, SALEM-BEVERLY BRIDGE AND BRIDGE STREET BYPASS, TASK B, ESSEX COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS	ER-2	B
D-FHW-C40017-NJ:	I-287, US 202, MONTVILLE TO NEW YORK STATE LINE, BERGEN, MORRIS, AND PASSAIC COUNTIES; NEW JERSEY	ER-2	C
D-FHW-E40065-00:	BIG SANDY RIVER, PROPOSED BRIDGE REPLACEMENT PROJECT, US 60, BOYD COUNTY, KENTUCKY, AND WAYNE COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40066-NC:	US 52, WELCOME TO LEXINGTON, DAVIDSON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA	LO-1	E
D-FHW-E40067-TN:	TN-61, OLIVER SPRINGS BYPASS, ROANE AND ANDERSON COUNTIES, TENNESSEE	LO-2	E
D-FHW-F40040-IN:	I-164, VANDERBURGH COUNTY, INDIANA	LO-2	F
D-FHW-F40043-MI:	US 131 FROM 19 MILE ROAD TO NORTH OF ASHTON, LAKE MECOSTA AND OSCEOLA COUNTIES, MICHIGAN	LO-2	F
D-FHW-F40044-IN:	IN-182, IMPROVED CONNECTION, I-69 AND EAST BYPASS OF MUNCIE, INDIANA	LO-2	F
D-FHW-G40044-TX:	PROPOSED RELOCATION OF TX-35 FROM ALMEDA-GENOA ROAD, HOUSTON TO SPUR 409, ALVIN, HARRIS, AND BRAZORIA COUNTIES, TEXAS	LO-1	G
D-FHW-G40045-TX:	US 90 FROM I-10, HARRIS COUNTY TO TX-146, LIBERTY COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-1	G
DS-FHW-H40041-MO:	MO-71, SOUTH MIDTOWN FREEWAY, KANSAS CITY, JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI	ER-2	H
D-FHW-H40042-IA:	FREEWAY 518 AND IA-92 RELOCATIONS, WASHINGTON AND JOHNSON COUNTIES, IOWA	LO-2	H
D-FHW-H40043-NB:	NB-63, ASHLAND, SAUNDERS COUNTY, NEBRASKA	LO-2	H

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-FHW-K40032-CA:	PARAMOUNT BOULEVARD, BEVERLY TO OLYMPIC, LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	ER-2	J
D-FHW-K40033-HI:	IMPROVEMENT TO KALANIANAOLE HIGHWAY, AINAKOA AVENUE TO HAWAII KAI DRIVE, HAWAII	LO-2	J
D-UMT-B54000-MA:	SOUTH QUINCY AREA TRANSIT STATION AND RELATED HIGHWAY AND PARKING FACILITIES, SOUTH QUINCY, SUFFOLK COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS	LO-1	B
D-UMT-D54001-DC:	BICENTENNIAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN, WASHINGTON, D.C.	ER-1	D

APPENDIX II

DEFINITIONS OF CODES FOR THE GENERAL NATURE
OF EPA COMMENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE ACTION

LO--Lack of Objection

EPA has no objections to the proposed action as described in the draft impact statement; or suggests only minor changes in the proposed action.

ER--Environmental Reservations

EPA has reservations concerning the environmental effects of certain aspects of the proposed action. EPA believes that further study of suggested alternatives or modifications is required and has asked the originating Federal agency to reassess these impacts.

EU-Environmentally Unsatisfactory

EPA believes that the proposed action is unsatisfactory because of its potentially harmful effect on the environment. Furthermore, the Agency believes that the potential safeguards which might be utilized may not adequately protect the environment from hazards arising from this action. The Agency recommends that alternatives to the action be analyzed further (including the possibility of no action at all).

ADEQUACY OF THE IMPACT STATEMENT

Category 1--Adequate

The draft impact statement adequately sets forth the environmental impact of the proposed project or action as well as alternatives reasonably available to the project or action.

Category 2--Insufficient Information

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not contain sufficient information to assess fully the environmental impact of the proposed project or

action. However, from the information submitted, the Agency is able to make a preliminary determination of the impact on the environment. EPA has requested that the originator provide the information that was not included in the draft statement.

Category 3--Inadequate

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not adequately assess the environmental impact of the proposed project or action, or that the statement inadequately analyzes reasonable available alternatives. The Agency has requested more information and analysis concerning the potential environmental hazards and has asked that substantial revision be made to the impact statement.

APPENDIX III

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH
COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN
JANUARY 1, 1976 AND JANUARY 31, 1976

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
F-AFS-J65007-MT:	HEBGEN LAKE, LAND USE PLAN, GALLATIN NATIONAL FOREST, MONTANA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA HAS REQUESTED ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH WOULD MORE FULLY RESPOND TO WATER QUALITY QUESTIONS RAISED DURING THE REVIEW OF THE DRAFT EIS.	I
F-AFS-K65007-CA:	TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN, PLUMAS NATIONAL FOREST, PLUMAS, BUTTE, LASSEN, SIERRA, AND YUBA COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA RAISED CONCERNS WITH THE EIS'S LACK OF (1) FOREST SERVICE MONITORING OF ENVIRONMENTAL SAFEGUARDS; (2) ALTERNATIVES TO SLASH BURNING; (3) DISCUSSION ABOUT USE OF LOGGING ROADS FOR RECREATIONAL USE; (4) DISCUSSION OF OPTIONS FOR THREE ROADLESS AREAS; AND (5) NARRATIVE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS.	J
F-SCS-F36024-MI:	EAST UPPER MAPLE RIVER WATERSHED, CLINTON, SCHIAWASSEE, AND GRATIOT COUNTIES, MICHIGAN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
F-COE-A36215-OK:	SHIDLER LAKE, SALT CREEK, OSAGE COUNTY, OKLAHOMA	EPA EXPRESSED CONTINUING ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS IN THE PROJECT AND REQUESTED ADDITIONAL WATER QUALITY DATA BE PREPARED FOR EPA'S REVIEW.	G

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-COE-A99089-CA:	FOSTER CITY DEVELOPMENT, REGULATORY PERMIT APPLICATION, SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA EXPRESSED ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS ON THE PROPOSED PROJECT WITH RESPECT TO AIR QUALITY. EPA SUGGESTED THE CORPS EXERCISE POSITIVE MEASURES TO PREVENT DETERIORATION OF THE AIR QUALITY. ALSO EPA SUGGESTED THE CORPS CONSIDER THE CUMULATIVE IMPACT OF THIS PROJECT IN VIEW OF THE RECENTLY PROPOSED REDWOOD SHORES AND MARINERS ISLAND PROJECTS.	J
F-COE-C08001-NY:	PROPOSED CON EDISION - LILCO'S POWER TRANSMISSION CABLE, LONG ISLAND, HEMPSTEAD HARBOR, NEW YORK	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	C
F-COE-C35004-NJ:	PERMIT, CONSTRUCTION OF EMBANKMENT AND OTHER FACILITIES, BERRY'S CREEK, BERGEN COUNTY, NEW JERSEY	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA SUGGESTED THE ISSUANCE OF THE CORPS PERMIT BE CONDITIONED TO REQUIRE THE APPLICANT TO STUDY, EVALUATE, REPORT AND IMPLEMENT MEASURES TO REDUCE USE OF AUTOMOBILES FOR ACCESS AND TO INCREASE AND IMPROVE PUBLIC TRANSIT SERVICES TO AND FROM THE FACILITY.	C
F-COE-C36012-NY:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DUNKIRK HARBOR, CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY, NEW YORK	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	C
F-COE-D35003-00:	ALBEMARLE AND CHESAPEAKE CANAL AND THE DISMAL SWAMP CANAL ROUTE OF THE ATLANTIC INTERCOASTAL WATERWAY, DREDGING, VIRGINIA AND NORTH CAROLINA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	D
F-COE-F32015-MI:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, HARBOR RELATED SHORELINE EROSION, MARQUETTE AND PRESQUE ISLE, MICHIGAN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-COE-K36009-CA:	NAPA RIVER FLOOD CONTROL, NAPA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
F-HUD-F85003-IN:	EAGLE VALLEY FARMS, ASP 469, MARION COUNTY, INDIANA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT. HOWEVER, EPA RECOMMENDED HUD CONTROL THE DISCHARGE OF STORM WATER TO EAGLE CREEK SO AS NOT TO EXCEED ITS CARRYING CAPACITY DURING FLOOD FLOWS.	F
F-HUD-F85005-IN:	SUNBLEST SUBDIVISION, FISHERS, HAMILTON COUNTY, INDIANA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-HUD-F89001-OH:	CITY OF CLEVELAND DEMOLITION (CDBG), CLEVELAND, OHIO (HUD-R05)	ALTHOUGH EPA'S COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIS EXPRESSED NO MAJOR ENVIRONMENTAL OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT, EPA'S REVIEW OF THE FINAL EIS'S INDICATED THE STATEMENT TO BE UNRESPONSIVE TO ITS COMMENTS ON SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AND NOISE IMPACTS. EPA EXPRESSED A CONTINUING INTEREST IN REVIEWING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING THOSE AREAS OF CONCERN.	F
F-HUD-J85000-CO:	HUTCHINSON'S GREEN MOUNTAIN VILLAGE, LAKEWOOD, COLORADO	EPA CONTINUES TO HAVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS ON THE PROPOSED PROJECT CONCERNING THE WATER AND AIR QUALITY IMPACTS. ALSO, EPA BELIEVES AN INCREASE IN RAW SEWERAGE BEING DISCHARGED TO THE SOUTH PLATTE RIVER IS INCONSISTENT WITH THE NPDES PERMIT ISSUED TO THE CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER. EPA ALSO SUGGESTED THAT HUD EVALUATE THE CUMULATIVE IMPACTS OF THIS PROJECT AND THE OTHER PROPOSED PROJECTS IN THE DENVER AREA.	I
F-HUD-K80002-CA:	LOS ANGELES HARBOR INDUSTRIAL CENTER REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT, WILMINGTON, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	EPA HAS DETERMINED THAT IT CANNOT MAKE ANY DETERMINATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED ACTION DUE TO AN INADEQUATELY PREPARED FINAL EIS. THE EIS HAD BEEN PREPARED SO EARLY THAT THE PLAN OF THE PROJECT REMAINS TOO HAZY TO ALLOW QUANTIFICATION OR EVALUATION OF AIR QUALITY IMPACT. EPA SUGGESTS THAT FUTURE ACTIONS IN THE AREA PROVIDE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF INTERIM SELECTION CRITERIA CONSISTENT WITH THE AIR QUALITY MAINTENANCE PLANNING EFFORT IN THE LOS ANGELES AREA.	J

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR			
F-BLM-A02081-00:	PROPOSED 1976 OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF, OCS, OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE NO. 41, GULF OF MEXICO	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED SALE, BUT EMPHASIZED CONCERN OVER THE OFFERING OF THREE TRACTS (BS-71, S-66, AND MP-69) WHICH COULD HAVE A HIGH PROBABILITY OF IMPACT IN THE EVENT OF A SPILL	A
F-NPS-A61236-CA:	MASTER PLAN, PINNACLES NATIONAL MONUMENT, MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
F-NPS-K61001-AZ:	PROPOSED MASTER PLAN, GRAND CANYON COMPLEX, ARIZONA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA REQUESTED ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BE PROVIDED ON (1) DRINKING WATER TREATMENT METHODS; (2) CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS PROPOSED AT BRIGHT ANGEL POINT; (3) CONSIDERATION OF ACCOMMODATIONS FOR ELDERLY AND LESS PHYSICALLY ABLE VISITORS.	J
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION			
F-AEC-A06130-NH:	SEABROOK STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2, PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, DOCKET NOS. 50-443 AND 50-444, ROCKINGHAM COUNTY, NEW HAMPSHIRE	EPA'S COMMENTS INDICATED THAT THE FINAL STATEMENT DID NOT CONTAIN SUFFICIENT BIOLOGICAL INFORMATION. HOWEVER, DUE TO THE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH EPA'S NPDES PERMIT PROCESS THE AGENCY WAS ABLE TO MAKE A DETERMINATION (OCTOBER 24, 1975) PURSUANT TO 316(A) OF THE FWPCA, THAT ONCE-THROUGH COOLING IS ACCEPTABLE AT SEABROOK. EPA REQUIRED UNDER SECTION 316(B) THAT THE INTAKE STRUCTURE SHOULD HAVE THREE PARTS AND BE LOCATED APPROXIMATELY 3,000 FEET NORTHEAST OF OUTER SUNK ROCKS. THIS IS THE ONLY SIGNIFICANT CHANGE FROM THE PLANT DESIGN DESCRIBED IN THE FINAL STATEMENT. THESE SPECIFICATIONS HAVE BEEN APPEALED AND FINAL DETERMINATIONS AWAIT THE APPEAL PROCESS.	A

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-NRC-H06000-KS:	WOLF CREEK GENERATING STATION, UNIT 1, KANSAS	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA REITERATED ITS REQUEST THAT THE EIS INCLUDE A DESCRIPTION OF ONGOING AND PLANNED STUDIES ON THE "BALANCE OF THE FUEL CYCLE". IF NO SUCH EFFORTS COULD BE DOCUMENTED, EPA REQUESTED NRC INCLUDE AN ANALYSIS WHEN WASH-1248 "ENVIRONMENTAL SURVEY OF THE URANIUM FUEL CYCLE" IS UPDATED. FURTHERMORE, EPA SUGGESTED THAT THESE CONCERNS BE CONSIDERED BY ERDA UPON DEVELOPMENT OF ULTIMATE RADIOACTIVE WASTE DISPOSAL TECHNOLOGY.	H
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION			
F-FPC-D09001-VA:	BATH COUNTY PROJECT NO. 2716, PUMPED STORAGE FACILITY, VIRGINIA	EPA'S REVIEW OF THE FINAL EIS INDICATED THE STATEMENT WAS UNRESPONSIVE TO ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS EXPRESSED IN COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIS. IN PARTICULAR, THE FPC HAS NOT PROVIDED THE SUPPLEMENTAL WATER QUALITY DATA REQUESTED IN OUR REVIEW OF THE DRAFT EIS. WITHOUT SUCH DATA, EPA IS UNABLE TO EVALUATE POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION ON DOWNSTREAM WATER QUALITY AND BIOTA. THEREFORE, EPA CONTINUES TO HAVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS ON THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	D
F-FPC-K05003-CA:	HELMS PROJECT NO. 2735, FRESNO AND MADERA COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT. HOWEVER, EPA REQUESTED THE OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON THE STATUS OF THE SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS UPON ITS AVAILABILITY.	J

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
F-FHW-A41864-PA:	LR 1052 SECTION III, CROSS VALLEY EXPRESSWAY, LUZERNE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA SUGGESTED THAT THE RELATIVELY HIGH PROJECTIONS OF CARBON MONOXIDE CONCENTRATIONS SHOULD BE INCORPORATED IN THE FUTURE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF ADJOINING TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS.	D
F-FHW-A42214-WV:	APPALACHIAN CORRIDOR "L", CRANBERRY TO BRADLEY, RALEIGH COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	D
F-FHW-A42282-IN:	TENTH STREET IMPROVEMENTS, COLUMBUS, BARTHOLOMEW COUNTY, INDIANA FHWA-EIS-IND-74-02-F	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA RECOMMENDED MEASURES TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL REDUCTION OF EXCESSIVE NOISE LEVELS.	F
F-FHW-A42339-OH:	RELOCATION OF OH-7, BELLAIRE BYPASS, BELMONT COUNTY, OHIO FHWA-EIS-OHIO-71-08-F	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT. HOWEVER, EPA SUGGESTED THE FHWA CONSIDER ACQUISITION OF THE HOMES WHICH WILL BE SUBJECTED TO EXCEEDING NOISE LEVELS THEREBY ELIMINATING AN ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT.	F
F-FHW-F40014-IL:	IL-474, IL-74 WEST OF PEORIA TO NORTHWEST OF MORTON, PEORIA AND TAZEWELL COUNTIES, ILLINOIS	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-UMT-D54001-DC:	BICENTENNIAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN, WASHINGTON, D.C. (IT-06-0143)	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	D

APPENDIX IV

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS WHICH
WERE REVIEWED AND NOT COMMENTED ON BETWEEN
JANUARY 1, 1976 AND JANUARY 31, 1976

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE		
F-AFS-L61032-AK:	TONGASS NATIONAL FOREST, HONKER DIVIDE, UNIT MANAGEMENT PLAN, ALASKA	K
F-AFS-L65008-AK:	TONGASS NATIONAL FOREST, PROPOSED BASKET BAY #2, TIMBER SALE, ALASKA	K
F-SCS-E36012-NC:	COUNTRY LINE CREEK WATERSHED, CASWELL AND ROCKINGHAM COUNTIES, NORTH CAROLINA	E
F-SCS-G36008-AR:	OZAN CREEKS WATERSHED, HOMESTEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS (USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-75-1(F)-AR)	G
F-SCS-G36013-NM:	COTTONWOOD-WALNUT CREEK WATERSHED, CHAVES AND EDDY COUNTIES, NEW MEXICO	G
F-SCS-G36022-AR:	SOUTH FORK WATERSHED, MONTGOMERY COUNTY, ARKANSAS (USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-75-2-(F)-AR)	G
CORPS OF ENGINEERS		
F-COE-D35006-VA:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF WISHART POINT, VIRGINIA	D
F-COE-G30002-TX:	FREEPORT HURRICANE FLOOD PROTECTION, FREEPORT AND VICINITY, TEXAS	G
F-COE-K35005-CA:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, FEDERAL NAVIGATION PROJECT, SAN FRANCISCO REGION, CALIFORNIA	J
F-COE-L36016-OR:	THE SIUSLAW ESTUARY AND THE UMPQUA ESTUARY, INCLUDING THE SMITH RIVER, OREGON	K

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE		
F-NOA-L81004-WA:	WESTERN REGIONAL HEADQUARTERS FACILITY DEVELOPMENT, SAND POINT, SEATTLE, KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON	K
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE		
F-USN-L02001-AK:	CONTINUING EXPLORATION AND EVALUATION OF NPR-4, ZONE A, ALASKA	K
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION		
F-FPC-A03057-00:	TRUNKLINE GAS COMPANY, DOCKET NO. RP71-100, NATURAL GAS CURTAILMENT PLAN	A
F-FPC-E09002-00:	SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS COMPANY, DOCKET NO. RP74-6, NATURAL GAS CURTAILMENT PLAN	A
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE		
F-HEW-E28001-FL:	CONVEYANCE AND EXPANSION OF THE US NAVY AQUEDUCT SERVING THE FLORIDA KEYS, MONROE COUNTY, FLORIDA	E
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT		
F-HUD-A86085-00:	APPLICATION OF MODULAR INTEGRATED UTILITY SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY (MIUS) GENERIC EIS	A
F-HUD-B89002-MA:	MURRAY INDUSTRIAL PARK PROJECT, CHELSEA, SUFFOLK COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS	B
F-HUD-B89006-MA:	BOSTON DEMOLITION PLAN, CLEARANCE OF UNSAFE BUILDINGS (CDBG), BOSTON, SUFFOLK COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS	B
F-HUD-E23004-AL:	PULASKI PIKE WATER SYSTEM (CDBG), HUNTSVILLE, MADISON COUNTY, ALABAMA	E
F-HUD-G85007-TX:	PARK CENTRAL PROJECT, PORT ARTHUR, JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS	G

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR		
F-BOR-A61272-00:	THE NORTH COUNTRY TRAIL, VERMONT, PENNSYLVANIA, NEW YORK, OHIO, MICHIGAN, WISCONSIN, MINNESOTA, AND NORTH DAKOTA	A
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION		
F-CGD-L34002-AK:	GULF OF ALASKA LORAN-C COVERING THE SITES AT TOK SHOAL COVE AND NARROW CAPE, ALASKA	K
F-DOT-A40975-TX:	TX-19 FROM FM 1507 IN PARIS, SOUTH TO JUNCTION TX-19 AND TX-24, LAMAR AND DELTA COUNTIES, TEXAS	G
F-FHW-A42106-TN:	I-640, KNOX COUNTY, TENNESSEE	E
F-FHW-H40009-IA:	FREEWAY 520, WEBSTER AND HAMILTON COUNTIES, IOWA	H
F-FHW-L40012-OR:	HEAD ROAD - AIRPORT ROAD, SECTION BIDDLE ROAD, JACKSON COUNTY, OREGON	K
F-FHW-L40023-OR:	SKIPANON RIVER BRIDGE, SECTION WARRENTON-ASTORIA HIGHWAY, CLATSOP COUNTY, OREGON	K

APPENDIX V

REGULATIONS, LEGISLATION AND OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY ACTIONS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN JANUARY 1, 1976 AND JANUARY 31, 1976

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
R-AFH-A86093-00:	7 CFR PARTS 1824 AND 1901, PROGRAM RELATED INSTRUCTIONS, ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT PROPOSED REDESIGNATION, REVISION	EPA GENERALLY EXPRESSED NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS AND INCLUDED SEVERAL SUGGESTIONS FOR STRENGTHENING THE REGULATIONS FROM AN ENVIRONMENTAL POINT OF VIEW. THESE INCLUDED SUGGESTIONS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS FOR MINOR PROJECTS UNDERTAKEN IN NOISE OR FLOOD PRONE AREAS; INTEGRATION OF FARMERS HOME WASTEWATER TREATMENT PROGRAMS WITH THOSE OF EPA; A DEFINITION FOR THE TERM "EMERGENCIES"; AND AN INCLUSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES; AND THE INCLUSION OF THE NOISE TO WHICH FARMERS HOME ACTIVITIES WILL BE SUBJECTED TO IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES.	A
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR			
R-BLM-A01032-00:	43 CFR PARTS 3500, 3520, COAL LEASES, DILIGENT DEVELOPMENT AND CONTINUOUS OPERATIONS	EPA ENCOURAGED THE PROMULGATION OF DILIGENCE REGULATIONS, BUT MADE SEVERAL RECOMMENDATIONS WITH RESPECT TO STRENGTHENING THE REGULATIONS IN THE FOLLOWING AREA: (1) ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW AND APPLICATION OF DILIGENCE TO EXISTING LEASES, (2) DETERMINATION OF LOGICAL MINING UNITS, (3) ADVANCE ROYALTY PAYMENTS, (4) CONTINUOUS OPERATIONS, AND (5) FORSEEABLE COSTS OF COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION.	A

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION			
R-SEC-A86094-00:	17 CRF PARTS 239, 240, AND 249, ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL DISCLOSURE, NOTICE OF COMMISSION CONCLUSIONS AND RULEMAKING PROPOSALS	THE PROPOSED RULEMAKING APPEARED TO ADDRESS COMPLIANCE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE EPA COMMENTED ON THE NEED TO DEFINE THE RELATIONSHIP OF STATE AND LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL LAWS AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS WITH THE COMPLIANCE REPORTING SYSTEM IN THE PROPOSED RULEMAKING, UTILIZING THE CLEAN AIR ACT AS AN EXAMPLE.	A

APPENDIX VI

SOURCE FOR COPIES OF EPA COMMENTS

A. Public Information Reference Unit
Environmental Protection Agency (PM-213)
Room 2922, Waterside Mall, SW
Washington, D.C. 20460

B. Director of Public Affairs, Region I
Environmental Protection Agency
John F. Kennedy Federal Building
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

C. Director of Public Affairs, Region II
Environmental Protection Agency
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

D. Director of Public Affairs, Region III
Environmental Protection Agency
Curtis Building, 6th and Walnut Streets
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106

E. Director of Public Affairs, Region IV
Environmental Protection Agency
1421 Peachtree Street, NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309

F. Director of Public Affairs, Region V
Environmental Protection Agency
230 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

G. Director of Public Affairs, Region VI
Environmental Protection Agency
1600 Patterson Street
Dallas, Texas 75201

H. Director of Public Affairs, Region VII
Environmental Protection Agency
1735 Baltimore Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64108

I. Director of Public Affairs, Region VIII
Environmental Protection Agency
1860 Lincoln Street
Denver, Colorado 80203

J. Director of Public Affairs, Region IX
Environmental Protection Agency
100 California Street
San Francisco, California 94111

K. Director of Public Affairs, Region X
Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98101

*U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE:1976 211-480/8 1-3

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

102 Monitor

The 102 Monitor is the monthly publication of the Council on Environmental Quality. In addition to its listing of environmental impact statements which have been filed with the Council under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Monitor contains a list of EPA's comments, filed under the provisions of section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended; items of current concern in NEPA case law; and information on the environmental studies of the Council.

The 102 Monitor is printed and distributed by the Government Printing Office. The subscription rate for the Monitor is $21.50 annually, with an additional $5.40 charge for foreign mailing; individual copies of the Monitor are $1.80 from GPO.

Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

(Difficulties in obtaining copies of the 102 Monitor should be referred to GPO's Service Section, P.O. Box 1533, Washington, D.C. 20013)

Order Blank

To Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402

Date ....., 19.....

Name .....

Street address .....

City .....

State ..... Zip Code .....

FOR USE OF SUPT. OF DOCS.

..... Enclosed .....

..... To be mailed later .....

..... Subscription .....

Refund .....

Coupon Refund .....

Postage .....

CATALOG NO.	QUANTITY DESIRED	TITLE OF PUBLICATION	PRICE PER COPY	TOTAL
				$.....

FOR ADDITIONAL SPACE ATTACH ANOTHER SHEET. TOTAL AMOUNT ENCLOSED.. $.....

IMPORTANT

Please include your ZIP CODE when filling out the mailing label below.

.....

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
PUBLIC DOCUMENTS DEPARTMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20402

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

RETURN AFTER 5 DAYS

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

Name .....

Street address .....

City and State ..... ZIP Code .....